mine; it probably is. But I still think you have to consider the paragraph as a whole. You just can't take out one clause and ignore the

other language in that section.

I just can't conceive of this Congress or any other Congress writing a law saying that a financial institution must make a particular loan. And I would think we could make that very clear not only in these hearings, but on the floor.

Mr. WAGGONNER. Mr. Anderson, that proves the point; that is the reason this legislation needs to go to committee where some of these

things can be worked out. I thank you for that contribution.

Mr. Matsunaga. Will the gentleman yield? Mr. John B. Anderson. Yes, I yield.

Mr. Matsunaga. I am inclined to agree with the gentleman from Illinois. There is a comma after the word "assistance" there. If that comma were missing then I would agree with the gentleman from Louisiana. But there is that comma before the word "because." It reads, "because of race, color, religion, or national origin of such person." So that definitely this clause would modify the denial of loans also. And I think the fears of the gentleman from Louisiana are truly unfounded. And I might say that as one who has had some experience in legal interpretation of statutes.

The CHAIRMAN. Mr. Bolling?

Mr. Bolling. No questions, Mr. Chairman.

The Chairman. Mr. Martin? Mr. Martin. No questions. The Chairman. Mr. O'Neill? Mr. O'Neill. No questions. The Chairman. Mr. Latta? Mr. Latta. No questions. The Chairman. Mr. Sisk? Mr. Sisk. No questions. The Chairman. Mr. Young?

Mr. Young. No questions.
The Chairman. Mr. Anderson?

Mr. WILLIAM R. Anderson. No questions, Mr. Chairman.

The CHAIRMAN. Mr. Matsunaga? Mr. Matsunaga. No further questions.

The Chairman. Thank you very much, Mr. Waggonner. I think you have made a very able statement about this matter. It is going to be

up to the committee tomorrow.

Mr. Waggonner. Mr. Chairman, before I go, could I concur with the gentleman from Missouri? We don't always agree on some of our politics, but I would agree with the gentleman from Missouri that the die was cast and this issue I think had been settled to a degree as to what this committee would do—and what the House would do—prior to the events of last Thursday night.

I agree with his appraisal of the situation prior to last Thursday

night.

The Charman. Just one further thing. I would like to get the opinion of the able gentleman from Louisiana on this. As to the antiriot bill which the country was so much interested in, I noticed on the TV and other media of communication that this rioting, this burning, this looting, this thing that required some 12,000 troops to