

and while I am here, I would like to point out that areas H and I, the two that I referred to as having 10 million board feet of operable timber, are within these dotted lines. An examination of this map shows obviously that there can't be that much timber there.

Senator HANSEN. I think it would be helpful if you would add to your map any descriptive information that would help make comparisons between yourself and the Forest Service map more intelligible.

Mr. BELL. Yes, sir. That is indicated in red, here.

Senator HANSEN. Then, one further question. Does that area J, as indicated on the Forest Service map, reflect your proposals or the thinking of the groups you represent, as of the date of the Riverton hearing?

Mr. BELL. If I can follow your question correctly, I would say that the boundary which I am proposing now is that boundary which is proposed by people in the Dubois community, not my thinking.

Senator HANSEN. I see.

Mr. BELL. The people in the Dubois community. I go to them, and I say, "All right, we have to go to the people in Washington and justify a boundary to them. What can we justify?"

Senator HANSEN. I asked the question because it was my understanding from the testimony presented by Dr. Cliff yesterday that area J on the Forest Service map reflected additions recommended by others. Now, I don't know that it was gone into in detail, but I assume that at the time of the Riverton hearing, and perhaps subsequent to that, the area J, as indicated by the Forest Service map, reflected what a substantial number of people and organizations thought should be included in the wilderness system.

Mr. BELL. Yes, sir.

Senator HANSEN. Am I to understand from your testimony that that area has been modified?

Mr. BELL. Yes, sir.

Senator HANSEN. And you now say that alining was not as far south as would be indicated by the forest map?

Mr. BELL. Yes, sir.

Senator HANSEN. But should be hooked into more prominent topographic landmarks?

Mr. BELL. Yes, sir. That is correct. About 5,000 acres less.

Senator HANSEN. And you mentioned also, I believe, that you had found that some of the area which is in the southern extremity of area J has been logged. Is that right?

Mr. BELL. Yes, sir.

Senator HANSEN. And it has been logged, did I understand you to say, since the Riverton hearing?

Mr. BELL. Yes, sir.

Senator HANSEN. And is it because of that that you recommend putting the line farther north?

Mr. BELL. Yes, sir.

Senator HANSEN. Is that the only reason not to hook it into topographic points?

Mr. BELL. No. Again, it could be down underneath on this side of the pinnacles, but for all practical purposes, if it has been logged right up to the pinnacles, what purpose is there in keeping it in? And it also,