

the preservation of such areas. There are no known plans for public improvements such as highways or flood control facilities which would jeopardize the integrity of this area as a wilderness area.

The Commission, in its action, also wished to acknowledge the very fine and continuing cooperation that has existed between this staff and the staff of the Angeles National Forest in planning not only for this major wilderness area, but in the total use of the Forest Service lands as a significant watershed and potential recreation district for the County as a whole.

Very truly yours,

MILTON BREIVOGEL,
Director of Planning.

FEBRUARY 15, 1968.

Senator FRANK CHURCH,
Senate Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs,
New Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR CHURCH: Our population is increasing, our land is limited. We need more land set aside for future generations so they can see and appreciate what this country was like before we had the automobile and superhighways.

We heartily support the Wilderness Society proposals regarding the Mt. Jefferson Wilderness area plan and the San Gabriel Wilderness plans.

Enclosed is a copy of 2 letters to the editor regarding the irresponsible attitude of the Georgia-Pacific Co.

It may sound trite but it really is up to you and other leaders to provide for our future needs and protect our heritage.

Sincerely yours,

DAVID L. CHILTENDER, M.D.

SAN DIEGO, CALIF., *February 15, 1968.*

Re San Gabriel Wilderness.

SENATE INTERIOR COMMITTEE,
Subcommittee on Public Lands,
Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.

SIRS: We support the Forest Services' proposal to place the *San Gabriel Wilderness* in the National Wilderness Preservation System.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. WAYNE CLITES.

DENVER, COLO., *February 20, 1968.*

Hon. FRANK CHURCH,
Chairman, Public Lands Subcommittee,
Senate Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs, Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR CHURCH: My concern is about S. 2630. Will you please make my letter a part of the hearing record since I could not be at the hearing on February 19th.

I submitted written testimony at the Washakie Wilderness Proposal hearing December 8, 1966, and want to go on record again in favor of the Forest Service's proposal to include The Stratified Primitive area in the permanent Wilderness System, and then combine this wonderful area with the South Absaraka Wilderness to form a single wilderness unit under the new name of Washakie Wilderness. This forward sort of thinging and planning is surely what the Wilderness Bill intended to make possible.

A copy of the Washakie Wilderness Proposal as published by the Department of Agriculture has been studied carefully for some time. I am personally acquainted with part of the area covered and particularly with the lands to the west, in Yellowstone National Park. This whole part of Wyoming is truly wild country and should be in the Wilderness System.

In addition to supporting the Department's proposal, may I strongly urge your committee to favorably consider the recommendations of the citizen's groups which are supporting it and asking for several additional perimeter areas. There are about 50,000 acres in these parts which are suitable to be an integral part of Washakie Wilderness. The Wyoming Department of Game and Fish feels strongly about the suitability of these additional lands.