

Would you please give consideration to the San Rafael Wilderness Proposal and inclusion of the 2,000 acre addition as requested by most conservation groups.
Sincerely

MR. AND MRS. IVAN ANGLE.

PALO ALTO, CALIF., *February 25, 1968.*

SENATOR FRANK CHURCH,
Senate Office Building,
Washington, D.C.

DEAR SIR: As a native Californian who grew up in the Southland, I wish to lend my enthusiastic support of the proposed designation of the 33,647-acre San Gabriel Wilderness, e.g., Devil Canyon-Bear Canyon Primitive Area plus an addition in Bobcat Canyon, as part of the National Wilderness Preservation System.

Also, I feel that as much as possible should be kept roadless. In go the roads—out goes the wilderness.

Please include my letter in the hearings.

Sincerely,

JENIFER W. ANGEL.

CORA, WYO., *February 13, 1968.*

We are Keith E. and Mary Hill Anderson. We own and operate the general store at Cora, Wyoming. Our living is made by the store, post office and a small sheet metal business and outfitting in season.

Not only for business reasons but for personal reasons as well, we favor the recreation that is in our area and this is the main reason for our being located in this area. We live at the edge of the Bridger Wilderness area and enjoy the outdoor sports and game hunting here just as much as our guests we take into the Wilderness do.

With the population growing as fast as it is, we firmly believe that you cannot set aside enough land for the future generations and their posterity. Therefore, we wish to give our full support to the addition of East DuNoir Creek and West DuNoir Creek, Bear Basin, Higgins Fork, Lincoln Point, the headwaters of the Mile Creek and Parque Creek to be included in the Bill. The timber that is found in the above mentioned areas is very valuable to the wild life and their existence. Also, this timber is a very vital water shed, and dams cannot do the job nor replace the timber once it is removed from these areas.

The beauty of these regions and their usefulness depend on conditions remaining as they are and will be as a wilderness area.

Thank you,

KEITH E. ANDERSON,
MARY HILL ANDERSON.

EUGENE, OREG., *February 15, 1968.*

Senator FRANK CHURCH,
Chairman, Senate Subcommittee of Public Lands, Senate Office Building,
Washington, D.C.

DEAR SENATOR CHURCH: I am writing in vigorous support of the proposed Senate Bill 2751 designating the Mount Jefferson Wilderness area. We have heard reiterations of the singularity of this resource wilderness, but I feel that the impact of knowing that this resource alone is non-replenishable, impossible to imitate or substitute for. We not only have no right to desecrate the wilderness with logging and roads, we have no right to deny our following generations the democratic choice of how to use the wilderness. We deny that choice to them if we destroy it as we are now systematically doing.

As a professional who daily sees the manifest problems of an increasingly complex culture I cannot too strongly state the rejuvenative aspects of wilderness: it is good therapy. If we carelessly carve it away now, succeeding generations—who will outnumber us in staggering ratios—will have not sufficient space left designated as wilderness to permit its singular restorative effects.

The interests which would "multiple-use" the woods are strong, well organized and vocal. The small, pained voices of our inheritors must be heeded in their cry for a place to walk—alone.

Sincerely,

JEAN ANDERSON, Ph D.