This will be a panel discussion. We have asked eight of the panelists to prepare statements in advance of these proceedings. These statements have been reproduced and made available to the subcommittee

and the press.

Two of these papers will be presented this morning, and two this afternoon. We have also asked other panelists to review these papers and prepare commentaries on them. Unfortunately, time has not permitted us to duplicate these commentary statements, except for two which we received last week.

We are hoping to obtain many points of view in the next 2 days. The panelists who are visiting us are knowledgeable and concerned. We do not expect them to be in agreement on all of the issues. We are looking forward to an open and uninhibited expression of differing

viewpoints.

We are operating on a tight schedule, and many of the panelists have only a brief time to be with us. We know, for example, that Dr. Stanton has only a limited time to spare for his participation and must return to New York City for a board of directors meeting. We know that Mrs. Pilpel must leave shortly after her presentation this afternoon. Mrs. Pilpel's husband is opening a play tonight on Broadway. She has our best wishes.

In view of these time limitations, I would like to ask that the members of the subcommittee hold their questions until tomorrow afternoon when our schedule is a little less crowded. This will give us more of a chance to take advantage of the combined expertise present here

in our panel.

In the event that this arrangement results in some member having questions which have not been put to the panelists, additional questions may be filed for direction to the panelists. We would ask that these questions be filed within 3 days of the close of our sessions here.

We also invite the submission of additional information, both from panelists and anyone else who wishes to express a viewpoint. These should be submitted within 2 weeks after the close of the hearings.

At this time, I would like to present to you the distinguished mod-

erator of our hearings, Dean Roscoe L. Barrow.

Dean Barrow, who retired last year as dean at the University of Cincinnati Law School, now is Wald professor of law at the university. He has done intensive research in broadcasting and was director of the broadcast network study for the Federal Communications Commission which culminated in the publication of "Network Broadcasting, 1957," which has come to be known as the Barrow report.

We are particularly pleased to have his aid and assistance today. Dean Barrow will present an introductory statement and introduce the panelists to the subcommittee. He will moderate our discussions

during the next 2 days.

I would ask that any participant or member of the subcommittee who wishes to raise a question or offer a comment so signify to Dean Barrow and wait to be recognized by him. This type of orderly procedure is essential if we are to proceed expeditiously and make the best use of the limited time, and the impressive experience and knowledge of the panel.

As I mentioned before, I do ask that the members hold their questions, if at all possible, until tomorrow afternoon. Clarifying ques-