

the development of suitable methods for the removal of harmful trace contaminants, et cetera. That certainly is an FWPCA responsibility. It becomes a question of how much are they doing and how much are you doing. Ought it to be done by both of you? How do you coordinate it?

Mr. EVERTS. Yes, sir. May I comment on that?

It seems to me that we have to get a little clearer definition of the treatment of waste water and the treatment of water to be used for drinking purposes. These are two separate and distinct processes.

Mr. DADDARIO. We recognize that they are distinct; they are separate. Yet, in the final analysis, someone has to put it all together and explain why, even though it may be for different purposes and it may be done in separate places.

Mr. EVERTS. We are really dealing in different quality standards. We have established our standards on the basis of those elements that should have limiting factors in relation to the use of water for drinking purposes.

Mr. DADDARIO. That is really not what I am talking about, although it is part of it. We are trying to get to the way it is being managed.

I have here a chart of proposed water resources research expenditures for fiscal 1968. Under "Water treatment" you have both Interior and HEW spending a considerable amount of money.

How have you established this relationship so that everybody knows what everybody else is doing? Since we are talking about water treatment ought it to be put under one head?

Mr. EVERTS. I do not have before me the figures which you have there, but our expenditures in direct operations research for the fiscal years 1967 in the water supply research program have only been about \$328,000.

Mr. DADDARIO. Mr. Carpenter will show you the line items.

Mr. EVERTS. In 1968 our research—and this may be a misnomer when we are talking about water treatment—our expenditures authorized for the 1968 fiscal year are \$520,000. For the 1969 fiscal year there is an anticipated increase of some \$750,000 in research activities in the water supply program.

Mr. DADDARIO. Is that figure correct for Interior, Dr. Weinberger?

Dr. WEINBERGER. Mr. Chairman, it represents the total departmental budget. I would suspect that certainly it is very close to being correct, subject to some changes that might have been made in the 1968 estimate. It does represent a 1968 estimate but the change would not be very large from these figures.

Mr. EVERTS. A substantial part of that money will be used in disease studies, studies of chronic illness, which may be of water origin. In answer to your other question about departmental program relationships, under the interdepartmental agreement the Department of the Interior and the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare each have a liaison representative who meet to coordinate activities between the two agencies to be sure that there would be no overlap, or to reduce duplication as much as possible between the two agencies. They are Mr. Allan Hirsch from the Department of the Interior and Mr. Vernon MacKenzie from the Department of HEW.

Mr. DADDARIO. A lot of sins in management have been covered up by the idea that we establish a liaison. I ask the question because this area