

agree with him that unless it is done in the right way it will stifle progress. You would take advantage of various alternatives to accomplish what ought to be accomplished, and if it was spelled out in the right way, public opinion would demand it be done.

Dr. GERSHINOWITZ. Yes; exactly.

Mr. DADDARIO. Did I hear you say that we ought to take this matter of establishing criteria and put it into the National Bureau of Standards.

Dr. GERSHINOWITZ. No. I said—I better read what I said just to make it sure. I would like to read, or requote my statement, which involves the National Bureau of Standards.

The factual data on which the standards and criteria should be fixed should be developed by an institution or agency which does not have a responsibility for policymaking or enforcement of a particular aspect of the pollution-resources question. An agency that has served a similar function is the Bureau of Standards.

Chairman MILLER. We will buy that.

Mr. DADDARIO. You are not saying the Bureau of Standards. What you are doing is offering an opportunity for a solution by having one central agency which could, in fact, establish these standards.

Dr. GERSHINOWITZ. Yes.

Mr. DADDARIO. Dr. Gershinowitz, we have reached the time when we have to bring this meeting to a close.

I appreciate your testimony and the work which you have done in the National Academy of Engineering. You have been a great help to this committee.

Dr. GERSHINOWITZ. Thank you, Mr. Daddario. I assure you we consider it not only our responsibility but our pleasure to continue to work with you and your committee.

Mr. DADDARIO. Thank you ever so much.

Chairman MILLER. I would like to join the chairman of the subcommittee in that comment, Doctor.

Mr. DADDARIO. This committee will adjourn until tomorrow morning at 10 o'clock at this same place.

(Whereupon, at 12:45 p.m., the subcommittee adjourned until 10 a.m., Thursday, March 14, 1968.)