

waited until we were sure of what we needed. I tried to identify the critical problems. We feel this appropriation ceiling is a critical problem. We feel we really need to come before you with this matter of appropriations under title I. We will again come before you, I am sure, when we can identify other critical problems that are presented by the act.

Senator ANDERSON. Last question, No. 5:

Mr. HOLUM. The fifth question is the hard one. "If the committee should decide to retain an appropriation limitation on title I activities, what is the order of magnitude of the increase which would be necessary to provide for desirable organizational and budgetary changes within the foreseeable future as well as the immediate pay raise?"

Mr. CAULFIELD. I think the answer to that question, sir, is that I would have to furnish the answer later. This could not be given without consultation with the Bureau of the Budget, and if the committee wishes, I will undertake to do that, consult with the Bureau of the Budget and arrive at an answer for the committee.

Senator ANDERSON. I think you can testify if you want to without the Bureau of the Budget, but I will respect your feeling.

Mr. CAULFIELD. This is a money matter, sir.

Senator Moss. That is what I was concerned about in my question, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. HOLUM. If I could sum it up, Mr. Chairman, and I think the Director has answered the questions appropriately, I think both the Director and the departments involved share what was contained in Secretary Udall's statement, an interest in Council staff, that it not be large, that it not deal with a great magnitude of funds and that the departments themselves be intimately involved, but I think it is critically important, and I think I can speak to this with some authority because I represent the Department on the Council of Representatives, that the Council have an adequate staff so that they can hear the views and the problems of the agencies that are members of the Council and prepare, for the Council's consideration, critical analyses of the problems that I identified, and do the central staff work.

Senator ANDERSON. As Senator Moss pointed out, you ought to specify how much you want and not have an open figure.

Mr. HOLUM. Yes.

(The information requested is as follows:)

An authorization ceiling that would meet the presently foreseen needs of the Water Resources Council could be provided by amending Section 401(a) of S. 3058, as follows:

"(a) not to exceed \$1,500,000 annually to administer the provisions of titles I, II, III and IV: Provided, that not to exceed \$400,000 shall be available to administer the provisions of title III; Provided Further, that not to exceed \$1,100,000 shall be available to administer the provisions of titles I, II and IV after the expiration of title III; and"

This ceiling could provide for a total of 42 permanent positions. At present, 10 of these positions relate to the administration of Title III and only 14 positions (8 professional and 6 administrative and clerical) to administration of the other three titles, making a present ceiling total of 24 positions. Thus the ceiling would provide an additional 18 positions (10 professional and 8 administrative and clerical) for administration of Titles I, II and IV.