ISSUES IN FUTURE FINANCING OF SOCIAL SECURITY

BY GEORGE A. BISHOP*

C O N T E N T S
Foreword
I. Introduction and Summary 22
II. Expansion of Social Insurance, Future Costs, and Limits to Payroll Taxation 29
Growth of social insurance in the United States 30
Probable future costs
Population projections 34
Official cost and benefit estimates 36
Limits to payroll tax financing3
III Financing Principles in Social Insurance 4
III. Financing Principles in Social Insurance 4 Shifts in financing principles for OASDI 4
The concept of "actuarial soundness"
IV Major Alternatives in Financing Social Insurance 40
Maintaining the present balance of objectives 4
Providing a general revenue contribution 49
Modifying the payroll tax50
Separating welfare and insurance elements 5
V. Possibilities and Problems in a Two-Tier System
The Canadian system5
Meaning of "Social insurance" 55
The Canadian system
Redistribution in OASDI
Complexity
Economic effects 66 Coordination with other public policies relating to the aged 6
Appendix:
A Diagrammatic Analysis of Social Insurance Taxation for Retirement Benefits.
ment benefits
TABLES AND CHARTS
Table:
1. OASDHI tax rates and maximum tax base under existing law and
under Administration's proposals of January 1967, 1967 actual,
2. Maximum tax base; combined tax rate and maximum tax on employer and employee for OASDHI, 1937-67 actual, 1968-87 scheduled
3. Major changes in coverage under OASDI, 1935-66 35
4 Projections of the U.S. population by broad age groups, 1965–2050.
5. Joint economic committee staff projections GNP, social insurance
contributions and benefits, and total Government receipts and
expenditures, 1965 actual, and 1975 projected3
Chart:
1. Average maximum, and minimum old age benefits and employee con-
tributions in constant dollars, 1940–663
2. OASDI trust fund receipts, expenditures, and assets, 1937–68 33
3. Growth in population, United States and key European countries,
1965-80

^{*}Director, Federal Affairs Research, Tax Foundation Inc., New York.

Note: The views expressed in this paper are the author's and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Tax Foundation.