

operation is not primarily for the purpose of taking care of the pollution or antipollution measures. If they develop from this, that is fine, but we are not trespassing upon another committee's jurisdiction in this particular matter.

That is all I have, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. TAYLOR. Any other members of the subcommittee have a question?

The gentleman from Arizona.

Mr. UDALL. Just one question which I cannot resist asking. I was sorry to hear the gentleman had fallen into the Wolf River many years ago, but I am among those present who are happy that he was plucked out safely.

I was interested in hearing the gentleman hail twice here the gentleman from Colorado's principle that where the representative of the congressional district wants something done or does not want something done about a natural resource in his district, that the Congress, other members of the Congress, should follow that lead. I would want to pose to the gentleman a hypothetical question.

Suppose there was a State like Arizona that had a big hole in the ground that one might call a Grand Canyon and the people out there had decided they wanted some dams in the canyon. Does the gentleman think a Congressman from Wisconsin should take an active interest in going about the country trying to tell the people of Arizona whether those dams should be built, inserting daily speeches against the dam in the Congressional Record, or does the gentleman think a Congressman from Wisconsin in those hypothetical circumstances, should adhere to the views of the people of Arizona? This is entirely hypothetical, of course.

Mr. REUSS. Yes; I realize that, and I am glad to answer in the same hypothetical vein that under such a set of circumstances, the outsider, like the hypothetical gentleman from Wisconsin, should endeavor to say to the gentleman from Arizona, Come, let us reason together. If you can just achieve what you want without these dams, I will back you all the way, and under such a set of circumstances, I think you would find the hypothetical gentleman from Wisconsin vigorously supporting, even though it costs his taxpayers some money, the Central Arizona project without the dams.

Mr. UDALL. I thank the gentleman for this learned exposition of an entirely hypothetical issue.

Mr. KUPFERMAN. Would the gentleman yield? I would say under those circumstances that the viewpoint of the representative of the local area is entitled to very great weight and then you overrule him.

Mr. TAYLOR. The gentleman from Idaho.

Mr. MCCLURE. You mentioned the fact that there had been studies made by both the State and Federal Government with regard to the cost and benefits on this particular proposal of the Wolf River. Have such studies been made available to this committee?

Mr. REUSS. I think they have informally, but has the Bureau of Outdoor Recreation? Well, perhaps I should ask the chairman.

Mr. TAYLOR. I think the answer is, not yet. We will get them.

Mr. REUSS. I have those studies and they are excellent in-depth studies. If the committee does not receive them in the normal course