

ence, isn't there? Those that are named for study are given protection against licensing for a limited period. That is not given to others.

Mr. CRAFTS. This is true in the cases of Mr. Aspinall's bill and the Senate-passed bill.

As I said earlier, we think this is a very valuable point, and a good point.

Mr. WITMER. But since we were addressing ourselves principally to the bill—

Mr. CRAFTS. I am glad you corrected me on that. I think that is significant.

Mr. ASPINALL. It is the only real significance there is between including the rivers for study and bringing them in through a study later on.

Mr. CRAFTS. I think you are right, Mr. Chairman. When we go before the Appropriations Committee, we might find it a little easier to have a responsiveness in the Appropriations Committee if rivers were named for study than if we were simply seeking money to study rivers which the Congress in its wisdom, after going through this procedure, had elected not to name. It might help us that way.

Mr. TAYLOR. The gentleman from Colorado.

Mr. ASPINALL. Dr. Crafts, would it be possible for you to list the rivers in the Aspinall bill and in the other bills and give your priority?

Mr. CRAFTS. For designation or for study?

Mr. ASPINALL. For study. Do you want to go to that extent, with the reconnaissance work you have done at the present time—or would you rather have them in a grouping like we have them?

Mr. CRAFTS. Do you mean dividing up the study rivers into first, second, and third priority for study? Is that what you mean?

Mr. ASPINALL. That is right.

Mr. CRAFTS. No, sir, I would rather leave them the way you have them.

Mr. ASPINALL. Let me ask you this question.

We were talking about the Eleven Point River. Are you talking about the Eleven Point River in Missouri and Arkansas, or are you talking about the Eleven Point River just in Arkansas, or the Eleven Point River just in Missouri?

Mr. CRAFTS. Well, let me try to clarify that if I can here on the map.

Unless we have made some error on these maps, the chairman's bill provides that the river from Thomasville, Mo., down here to Black Rock, Ark., is to be studied.

Mr. Saylor's bill, H.R. 90, provides that this section of the Eleven Point in blue be designated. There is no provision in the bill for study of the rest of it.

The Senate-passed bill in this case goes beyond Mr. Saylor's bill and has nothing in Arkansas, and provides that from this point in Missouri near the Arkansas line up to Thomasville be designated.

So all three bills are different.

The administration bill, as it originally came up, proposed the entire stretch that in your bill Mr. Chairman is proposed for study—the administration bill proposes it for designation.

But as I said earlier, the administration is agreeable to accepting any of it—any combination of designation that are in these other three bills.