ESTIMATED COST OF ACQUISITION AND DEVELOPMENT FOR RIVERS DESIGNATED IN S. 119, H.R. 8416, H.R. 6166 AND H.R. 90

[Dollar amounts in millions]

Name	Miles	Estimated acquisition costs	Estimated development costs	Estimated total costs
2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	pilou partigua	basi wazina	datak ing p	West Sugar
Senate bill (S. 119):	190	\$0.7	\$1.0	\$1.7
Clearwater	- 130 35	2.4		3.0
Eleven Point		1.3		1.5
- [[linois		1.00	forting of the party	. 6
Rio Grande	하는 살아보다 되는 글로 없었다.	.08 3.9	សិន្តិ ក្រុ ង ស្រែក	5.4
Rogue		3.9	3.9	13.0
St. Croix		16	1.2	1.3
Salmon	105		1.0	8.7
Wolf	_ 24	7.7	27-42 A 31. V 3 A 4	14.34.4.4.1
Total:	_ 764	25. 3	9, 9	35. 2
Aspinall (H.R. 8416):	nath Laborat	a al anna	7 S. C.	r symbols
Clearwater	_ 190	.7	1.0	1.7
Rio Grande	_ 50	. 08	.5	6
Rogue	85	3.9	5.1	5. 4
Salmon		. 6	3. 2	3, 8
Total	_ 580	5. 3	6, 2	11.5
and the same of th			13	S 20 20 20 1
Reuss (H.R. 6166):	_ 120	5.7	9	6.0
Caeapon		". ₇	1.0	1.7
Clearwater		5. 2	1.5	6.7
Eleven Point		3. 2 . 08	1. š	.6
Rio Grande		4, 8	1.7	6.5
Rogue	_ 95	7. 2	i ó	6. 5 8. 2
St. Croix	_ 185	6	3. 2	3, 8
Salmon	_ 255		3.2	3.3
Shenandoah	_ 20 _ 24	3. <u>1</u> 7. 7	1.6	3. 3 8. 7
Wolf				
Total	_ 1,029	35. 1	10.4	45, 5
Saylor (H.R. 90):				
Cacapon	_ 100	4. 8	. 2 1. 0	5. 0
Clearwater	_ 190	.7		1.7
Eleven Point		2.4	. 6	3.0
Flathead		1.1	1.0	2.1
Green		5. 6	1.3	6.9
Hudson	300	9.0	.5	9.5
Klammath		3.4	.7	4.1
Missouri		1.2	2, 2	3.4
Rio Grande		. 08	.5	. 58
Rogue		4.8	1.7	6.5
St. Croix		7. 2	1.0	8. 2
Salmon		. 8	3.4	4. 2
Shenandoah		3.1	.2	3, 3
Skagit		9. Ô	.7	9.7
	250	39.9	2.0	41.9
SuwanneeWolf	_ 75	Ĭ.Ť	1.0	8.7
	2, 409	100.7	18,0	118, 7

Mr. Aspinall. In the matter of condemnation proceedings—and here is where we are getting into more difficulty on this kind of legislation—why are State-owned lands or subdivisions, political subdivision lands, so much more sacrosanct in their classification than private lands are as far as the matter of condemnation is concerned?

Mr. Crafts. Well, this condemnation matter is extremely difficult

and extremely unpopular.
First of all, I think you asked about State and private lands. Or did

your question go to the local government, too?

I cannot find the place in my testimony, but I think that the lands owned by a political subdivision are not subject to condemnation if they are managed under a plan of management that the Secretary finds is compatible with the purposes of the bill.