Mr. ASPINALL. That means you do want the authority.

Mr. Crafts. Yes, sir; we want it if there not these

Mr. Aspinall. Then an affirmative statement that you wanted it would have been far better than to say if they would cooperate you would not need it. This is what I was trying to get clear in my mind.

Mr. CRAFTS. We want it under these conditions; yes, sir.

Mr. Aspinall. That is all, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. TAYLOR. The gentleman from Pennsylvania.

Mr. Saylor. First, Mr. Crafts, let me congratulate you on trying to work through a maze. All I can tell you is that I will assume some of the responsibility for putting you in the terrible spot you find yourself since I introduced the first piece of legislation a long time ago. And nobody asked your department down there to help me. I did have some help from some other people. I had a whole list of rivers people wanted in, and some wanted out. We used the best judgment of those I could associate with myself to draft the original bill.

Sure, I have more rivers in my bill than anybody else has in theirs, because I have been in this game long enough to know when you are in that position everybody starts shooting at you, and I have to give in. Some rivers I am going to fight to keep in. I am going to fight to keep

the Tennessee in.

Your statement that this is a national policy, if we adopt this bill, as far as I am concerned, overlooks any local Congressmen. I do not expect to run over anybody. I expect to serve notice on them if some of the people in the area want it. Then they should try to get back home and solve the problem themselves.

Now, I do have one question.

Mr. ASPINALL. Would my colleague yield?

I would feel better if he would answer me as I would like him to answer me. He has criss-crossed my State with his proposal like no-

body's business. Is he going to give on this?

Mr. Saylor. I will give a little bit on yours. It depends on how much I get in return. I am a poker player. I have not been in this game nearly as long as the chairman, but I have learned a good many of his tricks. I have watched him. I have sat at the feet of Gamaliel, and I have learned. I hope he does not envy the fact that his student has been more than apt.

Dr. Crafts, on page 5 of the report which the department sent up is a matter which gives me some concern, and I would like to have some

explanation.

Mr. CRAFTS. Is this the August 14 report? Mr. SAYLOR. This is the August 14 report.

This says:

There are no maps in existence to identify the boundaries of the four river areas designated by the bill as the initial components of the National Scenic Rivers System. We do not believe it is feasible to identify detailed boundaries for such areas until surveys are made on the ground. The amendment therefore deletes a reference in the bills to maps and provides for the boundaries of the four river areas to be established after the surveys have been made. The amendment envisions the boundaries generally will not extend to a width of more than 1,320 feet from either side of the river.

My question is twofold:

First, what do you do about rivers that are not navigable rivers and,