

study, regardless as to who will have jurisdiction—whether it be the Forest Service or the Secretary of the Interior, or whether it be—

Mr. CRAFTS. I do not think the National Park Service would make the master plan study of the rivers that were to be administered by the Secretary of Agriculture. I think that would be carried out by the Forest Service.

Mr. JOHNSON of California. Well, in most cases generally the Park Service is asked to do their work.

Mr. CRAFTS. To do the Forest Service, recreation planning?

Mr. JOHNSON of California. Not the total recreation planning, but a master plan. I think the Park Service has quite a bit to do with the—around some of the reservoir facilities we have had, that come under the jurisdiction of the Forest Service in the end—the Park Service. After the Bureau and the corporations get through, the Park Service makes the master plan?

Mr. SWEM. That has been true in the case of master planning for some of the reservoir areas—that is where national forest lands may be coming down right to the reservoir water surface. We have not prepared plans for the regular national forest lands.

Mr. JOHNSON of California. The only master plans you will make is where you figure you will be the agency to administer the National Scenic Riverway?

Mr. CRAFTS. If I may interject—the best estimate we have at the moment on the cost of the Lewis and Clark Waterway, the lengths that we are thinking about, which are somewhat shorter than the length in your bill, the best estimate we have at the moment is \$2 million for acquisition and \$2.2 million for development.

So it would add to the acquisition cost of these bills, if that river were included, about \$2 million.

Mr. JOHNSON of California. Now, I presume that you have sufficient funding then to take care of the studies that are necessary for whatever we authorize in this legislation?

Mr. CRAFTS. We do not have moneys available to carry out the studies on the study rivers. I think that is a fair statement. We would have to seek moneys through the Appropriations Committee for this.

On the master planning aspect, if it reaches that point, I think Mr. Swem should talk to that.

Mr. SWEM. On the studies we have been talking about, we would work them right into our regular study program. For example, just referring briefly to the upper Missouri again, we do have a master plan study scheduled there for the month of May, as part of the regular study activity.

Mr. JOHNSON of California. On the upper Missouri?

Mr. SWEM. Yes.

Mr. JOHNSON of California. As I understand it, you have enough money over a 5-year period to fund, if this other bill passes, from the Land and Water Conservation Fund. And you will have and make the necessary requests for master plan moneys, or these can all be master planned as they are acquired?

Mr. CRAFTS. Yes; we would ask the money, that is right, as they were approved by the Congress.

Assuming this other bill passes and the money is appropriated, we have scheduled \$9 million for acquisition the first 5 years under