

national preservation areas—if you have to have a choice between those two terms.

Mr. CRAFTS. Well, you face me with a choice which really does not exist in the bills. But I just cannot answer it in that way. I think you are talking about having national preservation areas for the purpose of enjoyment of these reservations.

Mr. KYL. Are we going to build, as the Ohio study suggests, swimming pools?

Mr. CRAFTS. Oh, no.

Mr. KYL. Places for boating, motorboating, water skiing? Are we going to prohibit these activities on these rivers which are preserved in the wild scenic river category?

Mr. CRAFTS. The bill is very open on that.

Our concept is that this would vary some. Of course if you use some of the classifications of a wild river, then I think probably activities of this sort might very well be prohibited—might be a canoe river, like the Allagash is—this prohibits motorboats, except on some of the big lakes, for safety purposes.

If you are talking about some of these high density areas, I think you might permit some of these things.

I think there would be considerable variation within the scope, and depending upon the condition.

I do not think we are talking about—except possibly in the wild river category—what amounts to an elongated stretch of a wilderness area, with a river going down the middle of it.

Mr. KYL. Actually the gentleman knows I know he does not mean this. But we have an awful lot of people who have been in this room who have been interested in this legislation who are concerned not with saving beautiful streams but with recreation, and recreation to attract tourists, money, dollars.

Mr. CRAFTS. Well, I think that the purposes are to preserve segments of America's rivers in as nearly a natural state as we can, but permitting a minimum type of recreation development—trails, boating, but generally in the wilderness areas they prohibit motorboats and also in a substantial portion of the Boundary Waters Canoe Area. In some places you would have to use motorboats for safety.

But I do not think you would have the extent of recreation development and facilities that you normally contemplate in a national recreation area. You would not have that.

You would not have overnight accommodations and this sort of thing. Hopefully, you would have this sort of development on private land outside.

You might have a simple type of picnic facilities, and this sort of thing. I think my figures indicate that the developments contemplated here run about a third of the cost of acquisition. The normal ratio between development and the cost of acquiring a recreation area is about 2 to 1.

What is contemplated for scenic river areas generally is a very simple type of development.

Mr. KYL. A lady wrote me a letter and said, "We want this bill passed because we have always planned to build a cabin on the banks of this river, and we want this river to be preserved for us."