

ernors. These study teams were made up, I believe, of the Forest Service, the National Park Service, the Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, the Bureau of Outdoor Recreation, the Bureau of Land Management, and a representative of the State.

Mr. McCLURE. You indicated you would be glad to make copies of the Battelle report available to us?

Mr. CRAFTS. Yes.

Mr. McCLURE. To the committee or to us individually?

Mr. CRAFTS. No—to the committee. I should think it would be available for the committee files rather than to go into the record. It's a long report.

Mr. ASPINALL. Will my colleague yield to me at this point? If he is trying to find out why these two rivers were included in my bill—the bill passed the other body 84 to 0. That's the reason why I have included these two.

Mr. McCLURE. I thank the gentleman for his explanation. But I notice he did not accept all portions of the other body's actions.

Mr. ASPINALL. No, no, I did not.

Mr. CRAFTS. I might say this, too, Mr. McClure, the Clearwater and Salmon are both extraordinary rivers—particularly the Salmon. It is a nationally known, nationally famous river. Both of these rivers are largely publicly owned. We are pioneering a concept here. It is something new. Therefore the impact of the establishment of these two rivers is relatively minimal, costwise and on private lands. We felt that this was perhaps a good place to test out this concept, in an area which is already largely in public ownership.

Mr. McCLURE. Will you make available to the committee also the other studies that were made on these two rivers?

Mr. CRAFTS. Yes. You mean the studies of the study team?

Mr. McCLURE. I think you mentioned the fact that there was a joint Interior-Agriculture—

Mr. CRAFTS. Task force, yes.

Mr. JOHNSON of California. It will be made available for the files.

Mr. McCLURE. Yes.

Do you know—it has been said—could you answer whether it is true that no consideration was given in either of these studies by the Battelle Institute or by the joint Departments of Interior-Agriculture study to the possibility that either the Salmon or the Clearwater Rivers might be needed for irrigation or flood control within the State of Idaho?

Mr. CRAFTS. I cannot speak for the Battelle report on that because I don't know. But—on the other point, I would like to answer that for the record. The assignment to the study teams was primarily to assess the rivers from the standpoint of the objective involved here—their suitability for the type of thing we are talking about. We did not attempt to assess—I believe this is right—whether it is more important to have a dam here or whether it is more important to keep the wild river—if this is what you are getting at.

Mr. McCLURE. Yes.

Mr. CRAFTS. I think this was out of the purview and the competence of the study teams. This takes a broader type of approach. Of course, this is one of the concerns of Senator Jordan on the Salmon. I believe the State of Idaho currently is involved in something along that line.