

DEVELOPED AND UNDEVELOPED HYDROELECTRIC PROJECTS LOCATED ON PORTIONS OF RIVERS NAMED IN THE
WILD RIVERS BILL, S. 119, 90TH CONG. (H.R. 3996,¹ 90TH CONG.)—Continued

Project	State	River	Installed capacity (kilowatts)	Annual generation (thousand kilowatt- hours)	Gross head (feet)
6. Skagit, Cascade, Suiattle, and Sauk—Undeveloped:					
The Dalles.....	Washington.....	Skagit.....	53,000	370,000	32
Low Faber.....	do.....	do.....	150,000	650,000	110
Copper Creek.....	do.....	do.....	83,000	470,000	152
Cascade.....	do.....	Cascade.....	66,000	320,000	686
Lower Sauk.....	do.....	Sauk.....	94,000	473,000	220
Lower Suiattle.....	do.....	Suiattle.....	31,000	240,000	505
Buck Creek No. 1.....	do.....	do.....	19,900	153,000	380
Downey Creek No. 1.....	do.....	do.....	12,200	93,000	385
Upper Suiattle.....	do.....	do.....	18,400	140,000	625
Total.....			527,500	2,909,000	
7. Susquehanna:					
Developed:					
Oakland.....	Pennsylvania.....	Susquehanna.....	600	1,900	9
Oneonta.....	New York.....	do.....	450	900	(⁴)
Colliers.....	do.....	do.....	3,810	8,000	30
Undeveloped:					
Falls.....	Pennsylvania.....	do.....	250,000	760,000	150
Hallstead.....	do.....	do.....	12,200	52,000	36
Total.....			267,060	822,800	
8. Wolf:					
Developed: Keshena Falls.....					
Wisconsin.....	Wolf.....		340	2,000	14
Undeveloped:					
Keshena Falls.....	do.....	do.....	³ 5,200	³ 13,000	37
Dalles.....	do.....	do.....	10,200	27,200	83
Shotgun Rapids.....	do.....	do.....	5,800	15,300	56
Total.....			21,540	57,500	
9. Suwannee (none).					
10. Youghiogheny:					
Developed: Deep Creek.....					
Maryland.....	Youghiogheny.....		19,200	27,200	437
Undeveloped:					
Dam C.....	Pennsylvania.....	do.....	15,000	49,000	50
Dam B.....	do.....	do.....	25,000	80,000	85
Dam A.....	do.....	do.....	25,000	80,000	85
Youghiogheny.....	do.....	do.....	24,000	76,000	126
Sang Run.....	Maryland.....	do.....	48,000	153,000	560
Total.....			156,200	465,200	
11. Little Miami—Undeveloped:					
Oregonia.....	Ohio.....	Little Miami.....	5,000	15,000	55
12. Little Beaver (none).					
13. Pine Creek—Undeveloped:					
Cammal.....	Pennsylvania.....	Pine Creek.....	85,000	130,000	235
14. Delaware—Undeveloped:					
Narrowsburg.....	New York, Pennsylvania.	Delaware.....	15,900	64,000	40
15. Allegheny (none).					
16. Clarion—Developed: Piney.....					
Pennsylvania.....	Clarion.....		28,800	34,000	83
Undeveloped:					
Foxburg.....	do.....	do.....	130,000	180,000	150
Mill Creek.....	do.....	do.....	110,000	175,000	225
Total.....			268,800	389,000	
17. West Branch, Susquehanna—					
Undeveloped:					
Lock Haven.....	Pennsylvania.....	West Branch Susque- hanna.	80,000	212,000	82
Keating.....	do.....	do.....	260,000	430,000	278
Total.....			340,000	642,000	
Total, sec. 3(b):					
Developed.....			53,346	74,000	
Undeveloped.....			2,913,100	8,301,500	

¹ H.R. 3996 names the Wolf among the rivers designated in sec. 3(a) of the bill for initial inclusion in the wild rivers system. That bill also omits the Cacapon and Shenandoah from the initial group and adds the Cacapon to the list of rivers named for study in sec. 3(b). The Shenandoah, Little Miami, Little Beaver, Pine Creek, Delaware, Allegheny, Clarion, and West Branch, Susquehanna are not mentioned in H.R. 3996.

² Installation would include both conventional and reversible units.

³ Net gain from redevelopment of an existing project.

⁴ Not available.

⁵ The extent of the reach of this river to be included as a wild river is indefinite. The projects listed would occupy the entire Fort Peck-Fort Benton reach.