

I think that is the proper reference to the portion of the Klamath River to be studied.

Mr. CLIFF. That is correct, Mr. Johnson. I hadn't picked up that error, but the intent was to be down to the town and settlement of Klamath near the mouth of the river.

Mr. JOHNSON. Yes; near the mouth of the river.

Mr. CLIFF. Not Klamath Falls. Those of us who have lived out there know it wouldn't be Klamath Falls.

Mr. JOHNSON. You do expect to recommend that that be in the bill for study at this time?

Mr. CLIFF. In H.R. 8416.

Mr. JOHNSON. H.R. 8416 and then H.R. 90 are the two House bills before the committee.

Mr. CLIFF. The Klamath would be in the study category in H.R. 8416 and in the instant category in H.R. 90.

Mr. JOHNSON. And this would protect them from any activities on the part of the Federal Power Commission until these studies were made and reported and the Congress had an opportunity to act?

Mr. CLIFF. That is correct.

Mr. JOHNSON. Fine. That is all.

Mr. TAYLOR. Thank you, Secretary Baker and Chief Cliff.

Mr. BAKER. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. KASTENMEIER. Mr. Chairman, if you don't mind, I would like to ask the witness a question.

Mr. TAYLOR. The gentleman from Wisconsin has a question.

Mr. KASTENMEIER. I would like to ask you about the St. Croix, the Namekagon, and the Wolf Rivers, the Minnesota and Wisconsin complex. The administration said last year that it supported what in essence became H.R. 6166 because the Reuss bill put into language the Presidential recommendation. As to H.R. 6166 and S. 119, presumably they are quite similar with respect to the Minnesota-Wisconsin complex of rivers. What is the Department position now with respect to H.R. 8416, which apparently eliminates these rivers, in terms of the amendments now offered by the Department.

Mr. CLIFF. Mr. Kastenmeier, as you know, the administration originally recommended the designation of St. Croix and the Wolf in the original administration proposal. In H.R. 8416, these rivers are listed in the study category.

I understand this question came up yesterday when the representative of the Department of the Interior was testifying, and that Dr. Crafts indicated that there would be no objection to putting it in a designated status rather than a study status, if that is what the Congress desired to do.

The administration at one time recommended that these rivers be designated. In this bill they are listed for study. We would accept it either way. A small part of the St. Croix system is in the Chequamegon National Forest—only a small part—and we have agreed to include this upper part of the Namekagon in the scenic rivers system. We have an understanding with the Interior Department as to the management of this segment if it becomes a scenic river.

Mr. KASTENMEIER. Thank you very much.

That is all.

Mr. TAYLOR. Thank you again, Secretary Baker and Chief Cliff.