

Mr. TAYLOR. You recommend as many as possible be added.

Mr. WALDROP. Added in the study category.

Mr. TAYLOR. In the study.

Mr. WALDROP. Yes. I asked for at least two examples of each type of scenic river to be included in the instant category.

Mr. TAYLOR. And at least two examples of each be included in the instant category.

Do you have any order of priority as to which rivers you would add to those four?

Mr. WALDROP. I may have some of my personal priorities from personal association with some of the scenic rivers. They would be mostly in the East because I am an easterner, but, no, we don't, as a club, have any specific recommendations on this point.

Mr. TAYLOR. The Sierra Club would not recommend any?

Mr. WALDROP. I am sure some of the chapters could, if the chairman desired, come up with a list of eight rivers; two examples each of the four classes of scenic rivers provided for in H.R. 8416.

Mr. TAYLOR. If you saw fit to do it, we would be glad to put that in the record.

The gentleman from Colorado.

Mr. ASPINALL. Mr. Chairman, I just wish to commend Mr. Waldrop for his statement. I am one of the first and most vociferous among those who criticize the Sierra Club. I can tell you this, Mr. Waldrop, that if the Sierra Club could come in with some kind of a constructive statement like this every once in awhile, and I consider this a constructive statement—

Mr. WALDROP. Thank you.

Mr. ASPINALL. Instead of going whole hog as so often in the past. I wish to commend you on your first appearance before this committee.

Mr. WALDROP. Thank you very much.

Mr. TAYLOR. I, too, think this is a most constructive statement.

Mr. WALDROP. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. TAYLOR. The gentleman from Idaho.

Mr. McCLURE. Mr. Chairman.

I note on the third page of your statement, under paragraph 7 in which you are referring to the study category, the last phrase says, "Insures that all of the values of a study river will be evaluated to determine the overall desirability of protecting the candidate river or allowing it to be developed."

This being a very forward-looking statement, I certainly commend you for having included that as a portion of your statement today. I have just one trouble with that. I think that there is some conflict between that and your recommendation that the list of instant categories be expanded.

Mr. WALDROP. I would only expand the instant category by adding rivers on which complete studies have been made, by the Federal agencies involved, the States and local people, which together would decide upon the administrative particulars, and the managerial specifics of each instance. Further I am only asking for a couple of those, in order to define the classification system by example, and then