Mr. TAYLOR. You mentioned the Monongahela National Forest.

Mr. Keith Taylor. Yes, sir.

Mr. TAYLOR. What particular rivers are you wanting to refer to?
Mr. KETH TAYLOR. I named them, sir, the Cranberry in toto; it is all in the Monongahela National Forest, and segments of the South Branch of the Potomac, segments of the Shavers Fork of Cheat, and segments of the Greenbrier, sir.

Mr. TAYLOR. And you recommend those be placed in the study stage?

Mr. KEITH TAYLOR. Yes, sir. Mr. TAYLOR. Any questions?

Mr. Aspinall. I don't know what has happened to Cacapon and Black Water?

Mr. Keith Taylor. In our statement, sir, we went further, sir, but because of time we didn't refer to it here.

Mr. Kyl. Mr. Chairman.

What does the league mean when they say they do not advocate the seizure of private lands, but do feel that the public should have access to public property? Are you saying no lands should be purchased?

Mr. Keith Taylor. No, sir; we feel the land can be purchased, and that through zoning and easements—sir, I just believe there are people in this country that will sell access to these waters, to these public waters, and the public should have the right of access.

Mr. Kyl. You are aware of the fact that in most of the areas the cost of acquiring easements now approximates the cost of purchasing

the land?

Mr. Keith Taylor. Yes, sir.

Mr. Kyl. You are not advocating any reduction in expenditure here, what you are really saying is you don't want the Federal Government to use eminent domain to acquire the lands?

Mr. Keith Taylor. Sir, there are some critical locations where the Federal Government would perhaps have to use the eminent domain to

get this land.

Mr. Kyl. You really do believe in seizure of the lands?

Mr. Keith Taylor. In certain areas.

Mr. Kyl. There is one other thing. I think perhaps you go a little bit far when you say "when we hear someone advise opposition to a scenic river system we wonder who he or she represents. They are certainly not representing the public." This is a statement which presents trouble. If you present further testimony of this kind it would be advisable to leave out words of that kind. That is all.

Mr. Aspinall. I have a question.

Do you believe a person's home is any more inviolate from invasion than the invasion of a person's other property? Do you think the public has a right to go over a person's property, a farm or a yard or whatever it may be?

Mr. Keith Taylor. With permission, sir. I think he should seek permission to do that.

Mr. Aspinall. Of course, if you get permission to do that all right. But as I understood you a while ago in answer to the first question it led me to believe that you thought the public should be just generally permitted to go over a person's farm.

Mr. KEITH TAYLOR. No, just as our Nation's highways are routes of