

In the long run, it seems to me that the talent search program, the upward bound program, the student aid programs, together with the special services we are suggesting today to aid the disadvantaged when they reach the college, should be of one part. At the present time, we are hopefully getting some of the advantages that would come from having them all together by having a very close relationship with upward bound.

Mr. ERLNBORN. Madam Chairman, would you yield?

Mrs. GREEN. Yes.

Mr. ERLNBORN. Don't you suppose the legislative possibility of merging these depends on whether you are transferring the talent search to OEO or the upward bound to OE?

Mrs. GREEN. Of course, having already decided that in my own mind, I was not going to ask these questions.

Mr. HOWE. I was waiting to see which way that was going to go.

Mrs. GREEN. May I start out to clarify it?

When the director of talent search advises me that on \$2 million this last year they had counseled 400,000 students and 14,000 returned to the high schools or to higher education and 30,000 were in a program of sustained guidance, and then when I read the first paragraph of a letter written by Mr. William Steiff which starts out:

Most of the teenagers in the \$30 million a year antipoverty program are flunking out of the colleges they are motivated to go to according to Mr. Froomkin, Assistant Commissioner of Education.

I do have some rather strong views about the direction in which it should move.

Mr. QUIE. I have a question.

What is your estimate for the next 4 years? I understand Congress is supposed to have those estimates, not just the first year cost of \$15 million.

Mr. HOWE. Under this new program?

Mr. QUIE. Yes.

Mr. HOWE. We will develop them and send them to you.

May we do that for the record?

Mr. QUIE. Yes.

(The information follows:)