

Mr. QUIE. But of those 226,000 only 149,000, according to the figures you have, were male baccalaureates.

Mr. MORSE. Physically qualified male baccalaureates, yes.

Mr. QUIE. The figure of those who get thrown into the 19-year-olds who are not actually 19-year-olds would drop back into that 149,000, if you had the same figures each year.

Mrs. GREEN. I do not understand the 149,000 and the 226,000.

Dr. TRYTTEN. The 149,000 is the college graduate contingent of those who would drop into the pool. The rest of them come out of first-year graduate school and so on.

Mr. QUIE. 69,000 in the first-year full-time graduate students, and 8,000 in the male master's degrees?

Mr. TRYTTEN. Right.

Mrs. GREEN. Do I understand if we follow the present policy that the college graduate is going to have much better chance of being drafted than if he has not gone to college?

Dr. PUSEY. If we follow the existing policy and he were physically fit, he would almost certainly be drafted.

Dr. TRYTTEN. Most certainly.

Dr. PUSEY. So there would be no one in the group available for the first year of graduate school, and also those who were in first year of graduate school this year would go, so there would be no second-year graduate students. This is a temporary phenomenon, but it would be a disaster for next year.

Mrs. GREEN. Wouldn't it last more than 1 year?

Dr. PUSEY. It would take a long while to get the thing started up and going, but if we went on to this other basis where all the ones who had enjoyed deferment went into a constructive 19-year-old group and a new group became 19 and they drafted from that, it would take away the uncertainty of a person living under it for 5 or 6 years. I think that would be a workable program thereafter.

Mr. QUIE. You are right under present policy without an Executive order, however.

Mr. ERLBORN. Will the gentleman yield?

Dr. TRYTTEN. Could I ask Mrs. Vetter to comment on that point?

Mrs. GREEN. Yes. Why don't you come up to the table and join us here.

Mr. ERLBORN. Would you yield for just a moment? I think we should develop that point.

Mrs. VETTER. The time we are talking about is really a 2-year plan in which for the first year the call would be by the seven age groups. If you see the numbers you have there in front of you, you are calling from a pool of about 1 million men. If you invoke a prime age group provision for the first year you would be calling from a pool of about half that size because you would eliminate the bottom number, the 528,000 down there. The new law says the prime age group must consist of men born in a calendar year plus those who have dropped back from 2-S or 1-SC. This would give you a group of only about 500,000 from which to draw the call and would let escape, if you will, scot free, that pool of 528,000 men who will be between 20 and 26, will be eligible for the draft but will not have served. It would eliminate these. Therefore, the plan they are talking about would for the first year call by seven age groups to pick up men from all these groups.