I think, at the same time, it is not possible for me, in this job, to speak as an individual. I think I must let the proper people in HEW do the things which they will want to do. I don't think, in a word, it is proper for me this morning to make a statement for HEW.

Mr. Red. Do you think HEW will be making a statement?

Mr. Huitt. I cannot say, Mr. Reid.

Mr. Red. I can only urge you to press strongly for something that I am sure is consistent with what you would like to see.

Mr. Hurr. That I will do.

Mr. Red. Thank you, Madam Chairman. Mrs. Green. I have one question on the bill.

On page 111, part B, section 1211, is the procedure for selecting the fellowships to be the same as in the NDEA, the allocations made to the college and university and the college and university chooses the individual who is to receive it?

Mr. SIMPSON. That is correct.

Mrs. Green. Congressman Quie, do you have questions?

Mr. Quie. Yes, I do.

First, where do you get the information on the \$87 billion per annum now from State and local investment? 'I had the occasion yesterday of working some of these figures and for 1965 and 1966 the State and local expenditures were \$95 billion, according to the U.S. Bureau of the Census in the Department of Commerce, and this was a chart book on "Governmental Finances and Employment for 1967."

I am always interested when the Federal Government comes up

with different figures.

Mr. Simpson. I believe, sir, these figures were taken from the report of the Committee for Economic Development in its report on "Modernizing State Government."

Mr. Quie. CED? Mr. Simpson. Yes.

Mr. Quie. What year is that?

Mr. Simpson. 1967. The report was published in 1967.

Mr. Quie. That would be for the same year or do they have all the figures?

Mr. Simpson. May I check that and put it in the record for you? Mr. Quie. It could be that they used the year of 1964-65 which would make the difference.

Mr. Simpson. That could be, yes.

Mr. Quie. How much money do you expect to spend in this program if you get it from the Congress for succeeding fiscal years? I see you have \$10 million for the first one for your seed money.

Mr. Simpson. We have not, of course, got any clearance on this from the Bureau of the Budget, but in our own projections we would see, after \$10 million for the first year, a substantial jump, particularly in the fellowship money, in the second year to a total, under part A and part B, of almost \$26 million. Then it would go on up for the third year. The part A, improvement grants, would level off at \$10 million from the grant and part be grant as a substantial jump, particularly in the first year, a substantial jump, particularly in the fellowship money, in the second year to a total, under part A and part B, of almost \$26 million. Then it would go on up for the third year. The part A, improvement grants, would level off at \$10 million for the first year. million from the second year on.

The funds would go up again on the doubling of fellowships to

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;Manpower in State and Local Governments 1965 and 1975." U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, 1966. Refers to fiscal year 1965.