

Mr. ESCH. The facilities we are building now provide for that?

Mr. MORTON. Yes, sir.

Mr. ESCH. And any cutback that is made now will thus hamper that great influx?

Mr. MORTON. I think so, because there are some variations from State to State.

Our big thrust, again, will be really about 1973, in Missouri. That is just the way it works out. Of course, by that time, you know, if we cut back now in our construction program that will really hit us in 1973.

Mr. ESCH. I would like to cover another general area. Has the Commission any suggestions as to how we might develop a better vehicle to discriminate between the undergraduate and graduate levels when we are taking into account grants and the development of facilities?

You suggested that the per capita basis may not be adequate.

Mr. MORTON. Not in and of itself.

Mr. ESCH. Does your group collectively or individually have suggestions of how we might develop, either on the State level or Federal level, a vehicle which will take into account the added cost at the graduate or professional level?

Mr. MORTON. Yes, in various States they have done a pretty fair job—we haven't—of making these kinds of differentiations in an adequate manner.

We are going to try to next year. We have a special study planned which will come up with norms, hopefully. I know in the case of California, there is a manual now that the coordinating council has out which spells out the kinds of relationships that you need for a graduate program at the Ph. D. level, let us say, in chemistry as compared to the undergraduate program in the arts and sciences.

I think there needs to be a lot more work like this done. Right now, to my knowledge, there is no centralized study which has covered the waterfront of the United States. There have been bits and pieces that are helpful. The best one I have seen is the one from California which I think was very well done. I hope we can do as well.

Mr. ESCH. I think it would be essential that we move into this area as we provide more broad-base support.

Mr. MORTON. I would agree.

Mr. ESCH. If we could move on to what Congressman Brademas mentioned momentarily, do you look for a continuing partnership on a long-range basis with State and Federal aid in facilities?

Mr. MORTON. I hope so.

Mr. ESCH. Would you care to project ahead 10 years to the point when most of the facilities money would be coming from the Federal level, assuming a resolution of the conflict in Southeast Asia?

Mr. MORTON. Most of the Federal money?

Mr. ESCH. Most of the money for facilities. Do you look to a point of time when most of the facilities money will come from the Federal level?

Mr. MORTON. I have never been that bold to expect that. Of course, we have all projected the hope that some day the proportion, you know, the one-third now might be somewhat larger so it would make it easier.

Mr. ESCH. A half?