

be considered for the purpose of the pooling as one age and then they can be drafted according to monthly birthdays so that a great number of graduate students and graduating seniors will be called but it will not be the only group or the principal group at that age which is called and, therefore, it would spread this out over the group.

This is generally, Mrs. Green, the position that we have taken, not for blanket deferments but so that it can fall equitably in this whole age group.

We recognize clearly that random selection is not permissible under the present law and that that would require a change in the law. But we feel that administratively this step that we urge could be taken.

Mrs. GREEN. To clarify one point, you would continue college deferments for undergraduates?

Mr. OSWALD. Of course, that is in the present law.

Mrs. GREEN. You would continue that, you would not recommend a change?

Mr. OSWALD. Now the association, Mrs. Green, has not taken a position on this. I think my own personal position would be that if there is to be random selection, as changed by a new law, that all ought to be included, including the undergraduates, if this change were made to a random selection.

Mrs. GREEN. Thank you very much.

Congressman Brademas, do you have any questions?

Mr. BRADEMAS. Thank you, Madam Chairman. Thank you very much, Dr. Oswald, and your colleagues.

Madam Chairman, I came in late so I don't know if my question is relevant, but I would like to ask unanimous consent that this document entitled "Recommendations for National Action Affecting Higher Education," produced by the associations Dr. Oswald represents, be included at some point in our hearing.

Mrs. GREEN. In the record or in the files?

Mr. BRADEMAS. It is not very long. I should think it would be useful to have it in the record.

Mrs. GREEN. Without objection, it is so ordered.

(The document referred to follows:)