

grams, each of which requires some new effort on the part of institutions.

I did not mean to usurp your field but it seems to me that what you were asking about was closely related to this concept of encouraging the participation of institutions in private support.

Mr. QUIE. I agree it is related. One of the reasons we set one-third matching for facilities grants was we felt that would be a stimulus enough. It may be that we have to move to 50 percent.

My mind is not closed on that when we get more money. I don't think this is the year to do it.

Mr. MORSE. If I am not mistaken, in each of these programs it says up to 33 percent or up to 40 percent. The level has never reached there. The money has never reached that level.

Mr. QUIE. But in the facilities, isn't there a flat one-third participation? So already they are using what you recommend, the flexibility within the limit.

Mr. MORSE. The flexibility is always down, from the upper level, which is why we prefer the statement of the upper levels as the intent of Congress.

Mr. QUIE. If you increase to the 50 percent or higher, then there would be just a few you would expect who would receive that higher Federal grant?

Mr. MORSE. I see what is in your mind. That is not what was in my mind.

I am suggesting that there is not necessarily any connection between what the legislation says when it says up to 50 percent or up to 75 percent and what actually happens.

It has never reached that level. Saying in the legislation that a grant may be up to 75 percent does not mean that a 75-percent grant will be made.

Mr. QUIE. Thank you.

Mrs. GREEN. Congressman Brademas.

Mr. BRADEMAs. Thank you, Madam Chairman.

I have just one question, President Spalding. Given the facts of increasing college enrollments and increasing costs of providing college education, and give the fact that we are cutting back, apparently, or at least not advancing very rapidly in providing Federal funds for facilities—and recognizing that you represent associations of both public and private institutions—can you give me any comment on my apprehension, in view of these circumstances, about the danger of increasing conflict and strife between the public and private institutions?

Mr. SPALDING. With only the proviso that some who are strident about the idea don't lose their heads.

I do not see any reason for there to be, nor any signs of a growing strife or conflict between the public and private institutions.

There are some private institutions that see great financial difficulties ahead, and you hear their cries of pain. They are real.

It is a fact, though, that there are many public institutions which draw private support. President Oswald, whom I respect very greatly, has a very active program of drawing private money into that State institution.