

So, all programs will essentially be squeezed down a little if appropriations cannot increase with enrollment.

We know what the problems are but we have this statement concerning the fact that this is what will happen if we just maintain appropriations.

Now you have an item in here, part C, Special Services for the Disadvantaged Students. We feel this would be a wonderful addition to the EOG program. Many of these students come to us needing special counseling, special tutoring. Anything that can be done so that the institution can provide this would be a great improvement on the program and it would be a good companion to the EOG bill.

Naturally, we think that any funding that is done on the programs, as you have agreed with us many times, if we could get it done in time in the 1970 extensions, the number of years and the forward funding would be a great improvement so that we can tell these students in time for them to make their plans.

On the guaranteed loan program——

Mrs. GREEN. Before we leave this, in the House bill unfortunately, the extension of the talent search was left out which is in the Senate bill. What is your view and the rest of the members of the panel for combining the new program for the disadvantaged and the talent search and Upward Bound?

Mr. PURDY. My own feeling is that talent search and Upward Bound have been fine pilot programs. It has been on contracts. The city has had it, this city has done it, this State has done it. It has been done in different ways. I think we have learned something out of each one. I think from that experience there could very well be a combining of these two because frankly they are both working toward the same end.

One is saying we are going to go out and find this student. The other is saying we are going to keep track of him and give him every help to success after he gets there. This is a combined program and I think there is some logic in not making it two different sections.

I think the administrative cost of a combined program would be less than having an administration out here hunting students and a college in here taking care of him.

Mrs. GREEN. They both also go out and seek the students?

Mr. PURDY. Yes. In reality they are seeking the same student using duplicated funds to go out and seek the same students. So I think there would very well be great wisdom in combining them.

Mrs. GREEN. May I have comment of the other members of the panel on this point?

Mr. GUNNESS. I react very similarly to Allan. One of the worries I have is that Upward Bound programs have attracted some really exciting kinds of people with ideas that are not usually accepted among educators. I do not mean to slight my profession but I think sometimes we have tended to not look to new ideas as fast and as quickly as and in as an adaptable a way as we might.

Insofar as Upward Bound could maintain some of its real honest excitement and enthusiasm, combining would not worry me at all. But if it lost some of the very people who made it exciting and I understand they have difficulty keeping good people.