WASHINGTON TECHNICAL INSTITUTE, 4106 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W., Washington, D.C., May 14, 1968.

Mr. THOMAS F. MOYER Office of the Corporation Counsel Room 335, District Building Washington, D.C.

DEAR MR. MOYER: Since you participated in the Congressional Hearings, I assume you are familiar with the background and organization of the Washington Technical Institute.

After the Washington Technical Institute was established, several sites were offered to us, as well as to the Federal City College, for consideration for permanent location. These sites are as follows:

(a) Fifth and "K" Streets, N.W.
(b) Bureau of Standards, Connecticut Avenue and Van Ness Street, N.W.

(c) Mt. Vernon Square, Seventh and "K" Streets, N.W.

(d) Naval Receiving Station, vicinity of the Anacostia Freeway and Howard Road, S.E.

(e) National Training School for Boys (Ft. Lincoln, N.E.)(f) Washington Navy Yard

We are currently negotiating with a consultant to undertake relevant studies

of these sites in addition to others which he shall recommend.

It is anticipated that these studies will be developed within the next four to five months and be integrated into our total preliminary study within the next seven months.

An interim report by this consultant should be available by the end of July, which will give us some insight into their recommendations. These recommendations must be presented to the National Capital Planning Commission and other interested local bodies for consideration and approval. If you desire, we will be happy to forward any additional information as it is developed and as we firm up our position relative to our permanent location.

Sincerely,

CLEVELAND L. DENNARD, President.

Mr. Gray. We are not against your institute at all. We just feel if we are going to make this available, it ought to be available and we should not mislead people, that we have part of it available or the periphery of the site available or you can locate in the middle or skip around it. I do not think that would be compatible either. If you have a building 50 years old and say to one of these countries you can build a brand new one here, we ought to either talk about clearing the site and having it open or just saying that portion is not going to be available and write it in the legislation and that those substandard structures we will clear them out and say this is what we have left.

Mrs. Rowe. The Technical Institute has expressed a desire to have permanent quarters appropriate for the technical equipment and

teaching that is needed.

Mr. Gray. I understand that, but I know how these agencies come to our committee and complain when they make improvements. I know we had the Pathological Institute of the Armed Forces and we wanted to move them to Walter Reed and they had anybody who ever associated with that complex come before the committee saying oh, we just spent a half million on air conditioning and what have you.

What I am concerned about is they may have spent a considerable amount of money on renovation and they may have a letter from the

GSA saying you can occupy this.