

Mr. MOODY. That is right.

Mr. GRAY. So really, under that type of procedure the Federal Government really would not be paying all the cost of demolition of all these buildings.

Mr. MOODY. Depends on whether the State Department wants to deliver a cleared site ready for construction in which event the price to the countries who buy the portion will be higher than it will be if they decide to deliver the site as they presently exist and put the burden on the buying or user country to do the demolition in which event we credit purchase price with the cost of demolition.

Mr. GRAY. Since we are on that subject, do we still have this illustrative chart that shows the seven buildings?

STATEMENT OF DONALD F. BOZARTH, DIRECTOR, CURRENT PLANNING AND PROGRAMING, NATIONAL CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION; ACCOMPANIED BY STEPHEN KLOSS

Mr. BOZARTH. This is the chart you refer to, I believe.

(See exhibit 3, p. 53.)

Mr. GRAY. Yes; that is it. Now, we have no buildings at all on the proposed site there of the OAS.

(See exhibit 5, p. 48.)

The new Organization of American States headquarters building would front on Connecticut Avenue. Show them Connecticut Avenue, if you will, Mr. Bozarth.

So there really are no buildings there, maybe one at the bottom that would be torn down. So, if the OAS proceeded with construction and it were a number of years before we actually had any one of the countries apply for a chancery site, this would really only require the tearing down of one building for that particular site; is this correct?

(See exhibit 3, p. 53.)

Mr. MOODY. I believe, Mr. Chairman, that this plan contemplates that the building would get down to two.

Mr. GRAY. Well, maybe two buildings.

Mr. MOODY. Or possible demolition, perhaps, of this.

Mr. GRAY. So the point is that the great demolition work would be done to make ready the 16 potential sites for chanceries, and since we are going to propose that these be sold, then in fact, moneys would be recouped from the sale of the land to these chanceries that could be used for demolition purposes. Is that an accurate statement?

Mr. MOODY. I would rather say the moneys would offset the costs. It would probably be that we could not use it at that price.

Mr. GRAY. When I say recoup, I assume someone would put it in their budget and additional funds would accrue back to the Government.

Mr. MOODY. It would be a net cost.

Mr. GRAY. Right, so as far as OAS headquarters site is concerned, there is a very little amount of demolition work to be done.

Mr. MOODY. That is right.

Mr. SULLIVAN. Could the OAS move into that site immediately? What period of time would it take before they could occupy it? Suppose Congress passes the bill, enacts it into law, how soon thereafter in your opinion could the OAS move in and start the construction?