In the United States, for example, imports increased from 3.4 million tons in 1960 to 10.8 million tons in 1966, while production rose from 99.3 million tons to 134.1 million tons. The increase in production was five times greater than the increase in imports. Yet in both years, the industry had approximately the same number of wage employees (ca. 447,000).

the same number of wage employees (ca. 447,000).

In the European Coal and Steel Community, the same trends are in evidence. Between 1959 and 1965, imports increased by 6.5 million tons while production increased by 25 million tons—or four times as much. Yet, in both years, the number of wage employees in the industry was almost identical (ca. 464,000).

TABLE II-1.—EMPLOYMENT, PRODUCTION, AND IMPORT TRENDS, ECSC AND THE UNITED STATES, 1959-67

Year	ECSC				United States			
	Employ- ment ¹	Raw steel production	Average tons per employee	Imports	Employ- ment	Raw steel production	Average tons per employee	Imports
1959	464, 467 482, 453 483, 351 479, 466 469, 326 477, 513 464, 707 439, 949 428, 499	69, 625, 000 80, 287, 000 80, 739, 000 80, 465, 000 80, 698, 000 91, 334, 000 94, 792, 000 93, 819, 000 2 99, 085, 000	149. 9 166. 4 167. 0 167. 8 171. 9 191. 3 204. 0 213. 2 231. 2	8, 467, 000 11, 981, 000 12, 080, 000 13, 531, 000 15, 508, 000 16, 611, 000 15, 068, 000 17, 013, 000	399, 738 449, 888 405, 924 402, 662 405, 536 434, 654 458, 539 446, 712 424, 153	93, 446, 000 99, 282, 000 98, 014, 000 98, 328, 000 109, 261, 000 127, 076, 000 134, 462, 000 134, 101, 000 127, 213, 000	233. 8 220. 7 241. 5 244. 2 269. 4 292. 4 286. 7 300. 2 299. 9	4, 396, 354 3, 358, 725 3, 163, 686 4, 100, 039 5, 446, 326 6, 439, 635 10, 383, 021 10, 753, 022 2 11, 454, 502

Number of wage employees as of December of each year, 1959–66, and as of September 1967.

Source: AISI, Annual Statistical Reports, 1960-67. Statistiches Bundesamt, Eisen und Stahl, 4. Vierteljahresheft, 1967.

(2) Between 1962 and 1967, while U.S. steel imports more than doubled, separation rates in the steel industry have been consistently below the average for durable goods and for manufacturing as a whole. In May 1967, total separations for the steel industry were at the rate of 2.5 per 100, compared to 4.1 for all manufacturing—substantially the same proportions as in 1962

all manufacturing—substantially the same proportions as in 1962.

(3) Total insured unemployment in June 1967, in nine areas identifiable as steel centers (such as Allentown, Bethlehem, Buffalo, Gary-Hammond, and Pittsburgh) shows a sharp decline since 1960—again during a period of rising imports. Thus, while 12 percent of the Youngstown labor force was unemployed in 1960, the rate had dropped to 3.3 percent by February 1965. Rising imports cannot be associated with rising unemployment. If anything, the correlation points to the reverse.

(4) The United Steelworkers of America have not been able to furnish us with precise data on unemployment of steel labor. Nor do the published sources indicate that there is more than minimal unemployment at this time. On the contrary, there is some evidence of periodic shortages of steel labor in some production centers.

(5) During the post-World War II period, the *long-run* trend of employment in the steel industry has moved downward. However, as Table II-1 shows, this is due to an increase in productivity (i.e., mechanization and automation) and not to an increase in imports. Employment has tended to decline *even though production has increased*, for the simple reason that output per man and output per manhour have increased substantially. Again, this is true both for the United States and the European Coal and Steel Community.

As Meyer Bernstein of the United Steel Workers explained to the Congress (testimony on S. Res. 149, June 2, 1966): "Another point to be kept in mind is that as far as employment in the steel industry is concerned, the rate of national industrial activity is much more important than the balance of imports and exports. A prosperous year will increase employment of steelworkers far greater than a surge of imports will reduce such employment. It should be remembered that 1965 was not only the year of highest steel products imports, it was also the year of highest American steel industry production. We achieved this record