clothespin imports currently are supplying 38 percent of the domestic market as compared with 23 percent in 1957 when the President agreed with the Tariff Commission that the industry was being seriously in-

jured by imports.

From the facts included in my written statement I believe the committee will conclude that domestic producers of clothespins, flat veneer products, and slide fasteners have already lost a substantial part of their domestic markets as a result of duty reductions under the trade agreement program. With the further reductions provided for under the GATT agreement, it is anticipated that increased imports will force a drastic curtailment of domestic production, the layoff of American workers, and closing of plants.

There is presently a wide price differential favoring imports, which gap will be widened by the projected duty reductions, encouraging

more and more users to switch to imports.

The domestic industries believe that Congress did not intend that the trade agreement program would result in the sacrifice of American industry and workers in order to make a gift of the American market

to foreign producers.

They believe that the program was intended as a means of making all markets available to all producers on an equal basis, without artificial restraints which give one group of producers a competitive advantage over others. Tariff restrictions should be designed to enable both foreign and domestic producers to compete on an equal basis for the domestic market as well as for foreign markets.

Domestic producers fully recognize the importance to the national economy of entereing into trade agreements under which foreign markets are made "available" to the products of American labor, and the necessity of making compensatory concessions to foreign countries under which the American market will be made "available"

to products of foreign labor.

However, they submit that making a market "available" merely means to enable both foreign and domestic producers to compete for it on an equal basis, without artificial restraints which give one group a competitive advantage over the other.

It is inevitable that the negotiation of trade agreements will result in equities which will seriously injure specific domestic industries. This does not mean that the trade agreements should not be negotiated.

It does mean that effective means of correcting such inequities must be provided. If a single American industry or even a single business or worker, is to be sacrificed to obtain concessions for the benefit of other businesses or workers, an effective means of compensating such sacrificed industry business or worker should be devised.

The taking of a business or of a worker's job to benefit other businesses or workers in the aid of the overall national economy, in my opinion, cannot be distinguished from the taking of real property for

an interstate highway.

In the latter case, the Constitution requires that the owner be paid "just compensation." A man's business or job may be equally as valuable to him as his real property and when his business or job is taken for the benefit of others or in order to aid the national economy, he should be compensated.