RUBBER FOOTWEAR

| | Pairs | British pounds |
|------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1960 | 197, 383 174, 645 319, 679 177, 760 187, 041 210, 123 128, 800 | 129, 449 105, 190 154, 042 156, 168 109, 131 140, 976 108, 800 |

Source: United Kingdom customs and excise return.

U.K. Exports of Rubber Footwear to U.S.A.

Comparing the six month period January/June, 1965, before the Tariff Schedule Amendments Act of 1965 came into force, with the equivalent periods Janu-uary/June 1966 and 1967—after the Act was in being—the position is as follows:

RUBBER FOOTWEAR

| | Pairs | January/June | | Index 1965 |
|------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | Index 1965 equals 100 | British pounds | equals 100 |
| 1965 | 78, 172 52, 390 57, 240 | 100. 0 67. 0 73. 2 | 53, 576 47, 295 44, 406 | 100. (88.) 82. ! |

Source: H.M. Customs and Excise.

These figures show that since the new Act has been in force, rubber footwear exports from the U.K. into the U.S.A. have dropped 26.8 % in volume and 17.1% in value (1965 v. 1967).

Taking examples of specific products, which fall within the category rubber footwear, the new duty structure has resulted in an increase in the amount of duty payable on articles of rubber foot wear e.g.

(i) A U.K. manufactured rubber Yachting Boot formerly attracted a duty at 12% of the F.O.B. price based on C.A.V.—now attracts a duty of 41% of

the F.O.B. price.

(ii) On U.K. manufactured all rubber Golf Shoes (category protective rubber footwear) the duty has risen from .56 cents per pair of \$1.68 per pair. The overall effect of the new tariff structure appears therefore to have been the overall effect of the new tariff structure appears therefore to have been the overall effect of the new tariff structure appears therefore to have been the overall effect of the new tariff structure appears therefore to have been the overall effect of the new tariff structure appears therefore to have been the overall effect of the new tariff structure appears therefore to have been the overall effect of the new tariff structure appears therefore to have been the overall effect of the new tariff structure appears therefore to have been the overall effect of the new tariff structure appears therefore to have been the overall effect of the new tariff structure appears therefore to have been the overall effect of the new tariff structure appears therefore to have been the overall effect of the new tariff structure appears therefore to have been the overall effect of the new tariff structure appears therefore to have been the overall effect of the new tariff structure appears therefore to have been the overall effect of the new tariff structure appears therefore the new tariff structure appears the appears the new tariff structure appears the new tariff st twofold, inasmuch as it has tended to increase the amount of duty on items of rubber footwear and consequently to reduce the volume of imports into the U.S.A.

from the United Kingdom. This rise in duty rate, would seem to our Association to be contrary to the spirit of the GATT agreements and to the Kennedy Round as it increases tariff barriers rather than leading to their reduction and eventual abolition.

In contrast to the position on natural rubber footwear entering the United States under tariff item 700.53, we would mention that imports of similar footwear entering the U.K. from the United States, under U.K. tariff heading 64.01 (not containing Furskin) are subject to a low specific duty, which has remained unaltered since 1932.

 $6.\ Rubber\ soled\ footwear\ with\ fabric\ uppers.\ U.S.\ tariff\ item\ TSUS\ 700.60$

As instanced above, most of the rubber soled footwear with fabhic uppers entering the U.S. from the U.K. is subject to A.S.P. In the view of our Association, this method of assessing the value of goods for duty purposes is unsatisfactory. Basically, it is related to the value of the like or similar domestic article in price, to the price of the imported article, and because the domestic article in practice is usually higher in price than the imported article of footwear from the U.K., the duty tends to be disproportionately high in relation to the U.K. ex-O.K., the duty tends to be disproportionately high in relation to the U.K. export price. We contend therefore that this method of valuation results in artificially high rates of duty. Also by its nature, valuation on the basis of A.S.P. does lead to anomalies as it cannot be uniform.