"Your committee was guided by the view that the national security amendment is not an alternative to the means afforded by the escape clause for providing industries which believe themselves injured a second court in which to seek relief. Its purpose is a different one—to provide those best able to judge national security needs, namely, the President and the Director of the Office of Defense Mobilization, acting with the advice of such Cabinet officers as the Secretaries of Defense, Commerce, and State, a way of taking whatever action is needed to avoid a threat to the national security through imports. Serious injury to a particular industry, which is the principal consideration in the escape-clause procedure, may also be a consideration bearing on the national security position in particular cases, but the avoidance or remedy of injury to industries is not the object per se. There are other differences between the two procedures, such as that the one here under consideration applies to all imports whether or not the subject of trade agreement concessions. Again, in the choice of remedies the President is not limited in national security cases to action which he might take under the authority delegated to him in the trade-agreements legislation. However, it should be pointed out that the actions he may take under the authority of the national security amendment are limited to actions to adjust imports. In emergencies and for such time as necessary, the President may also take any action available to him under any of his other powers. Your committee considered it paramount to emphasize, however, that any action, large or small, for a short or long time, can be taken only if warranted by national security considerations. The interest to be safeguarded is the security of the Nation, not the output or profitability of any plant or industry except as these may be essential to national security."

During the floor consideration on June 10, 1958, of this legislation, House Ways and Means Committee Chairman Wilbur Mills, and Ways and Means Committee member, Representative Ikard, took part in the following colloquy:

"Mr. Mills. Mr. Chairman, I yield 10 minutes to the gentleman from Texas (Mr. Ikard).

"Mr. IKARD. Mr. Chairman, I would like to ask the distinguished chairman of the committee two or three questions.

"Is it a fact that the so-called national security section of the committee bill has as its purpose providing the executive department with a means of taking whatever action is necessary to avoid a threat to our national security through imports and to make sure that injury to a particular industry essential to the national security will be avoided?

"Mr. MILLS. The answer is 'Yes.'

"Mr. IKARD. Is it a fact that the national security amendment of the present law was amended by the committee as reflected in the committee bill for the purpose of improving and facilitating the operation of this provision by providing specific criteria and guidelines for use in its administration?

"Mr. Mills. The answer to that question is 'Yes.'

"Mr. IKARD. The national security section of the committee bill specifies certain factors which would govern the Director of the Office of Defense Mobilization and the President in determining whether imports are a threat to national security. These are stated in the committee bill substantially as follows:

"The Director and the President shall . . . give consideration to domestic production needed for projected national defense requirements, the capacity of domestic industries to meet such requirements, existing and anticipated availaability . . . products, raw materials, and other supplies and services essential to the national defense, the requirements of growth of such industries and such supplies and services including the investment, exploration, and development necessary to assure such growth, and the importation of goods in terms of their quantities, availabilities, character, and use as those affect such industries and the capacity of the United States to meet national security requirements.

"I am interested in knowing how these criteria would relate to the problem of excessive imports of petroleum and petroleum products. Is it intended that under this provision imports of petroleum and petroleum products be held at levels which would permit the domestic industry to engage in a vigorous program of ex-

ploration at a rate consistent with the demands of our economy?

"Mr. Mills. This provision is intended to hold imports at a level which will permit the United States to have sufficient oil, known, discovered, and developed

as is required to meet our national security needs.