

However, the Rome Treaty did provide for the beginning of the development of antitrust laws in the European Common Market. I have been trying to follow this development without too much success.

I might say I regret not having followed up a couple of years ago when I requested that this committee put in our hearings some of the studies of the development of antitrust laws in the European Common Market. It should have been in our committee hearings, and because of the objection of one member wasn't put in. But having said this, this only accentuates rather than takes away from the thrust of what you are presenting here.

I am going to study this brief along with some of these other presentations and see what I can make of them. Then I would like to add this comment. I wish that there was more concentration among the witnesses by those who disagree with various points to direct attention at the arguments and facts that have been advanced by those who reach different conclusions.

I mean this both ways. I would hope that your industry would find time to prepare a rebuttal—maybe you have—of those who have advocated the opposite point of view. (See p. 4761.)

I find that both groups have gotten good statistics, but they are on different bases to a large degree, and there needs to be reconciliation. I think there is a lot of truth in what has been presented by both groups, but I think also there are many, many areas where these seeming differences can be reconciled.

Certainly this committee is going to have to try to do this in executive session. How much better it would be if the witnesses who do have different viewpoints would prepare considered rebuttals of the testimony presented by those who disagree with them, so, Mr. Chairman, if it did meet with the approval of this group I hope you would prepare such a rebuttal. I would appreciate it. Likewise, those on the other side could prepare rebuttals or maybe I would use a less antagonistic word and say reconciliation. I would like to see where we can reconcile these different figures, these different estimates, to see what the base is that lies behind them.

Mr. MARSHALL. Only one comment, Mr. Curtis. We are presenting a brief on behalf of the dyes, pigments, and dye intermediates industry. I think your commentary on statistical differences is quite sound, but the previous witnesses testified generally along the lines that I liked, that we are the most import sensitive of all industry. They brought that out themselves.

The reconciliation, I can assure you, was accidental, but I was glad to hear it.

Mr. CURTIS. I would say, and I want to be sure, you are also pointing out that if they come out with less concern about the industry it was because of the large companies and not with the emphasis on the small independent groups that you represent. Am I correct in that observation?

Mr. MARSHALL. I would think so, sir; yes.

Mr. CURTIS. Thank you.

The CHAIRMAN. Mr. Schneebeli.

Mr. SCHNEEBELI. Mr. Marshall, in your full statement you refer to an incentive which the ASP system seems to foster in having these foreign dye producers create some specialty noncompetitive dye to our