We have followed that pattern for many years in any program under our jurisdiction.

Mr. KINCAID. Could I ask Mr. Reily to comment?

Mr. Moss. Yes, indeed.

Mr. Reily. We have some budgetary figures worked out since the administration request was made which are based on informal inquiries of the States with respect to their plans and availability of

actual State matching funds in ensuing years.

For fiscal year 1969, of course, we have a very firm program and are already receiving program requests. The budgetary estimate for fiscal year 1969 was \$6.6 million. This has since been revised in the Department of Commerce during the appropriations process to \$6.5 million which is the current request.

For fiscal year 1970 on the same basis of inquiry of the States and their plans and projected availability of a matching base our estimate

for fiscal year 1970 is for \$14 million.

At the same time this was being done we carried the estimate 1 more year for our own guidance and planning in the Office and in fiscal year 1971, our estimate is for \$20 million.

Mr. Moss. Now on the \$6.6 million for fiscal 1969, is that covered by

appropriations by the State legislatures, the matching portion?

Mr. Relly. Thirty-five of the 46 States now in the program are meeting part of their matching base by appropriation. That figure has climbed in the 3 years of the program. In many cases the programs began with university resources being the principal matching base.

We feel that for the program to have any permanent substance that

there must be appropriations by State legislatures.

We are very pleased with the level of 35 States out of 46 which

are meeting part of their matching obligation by appropriation.

Mr. Moss. Will you supply for the record at this point the breakdown of the State funds and the sources of those funds for matching the 1969 program and supply the same information to the extent that it is available for the 1970 and the 1971 projections?

Mr. Kincaid. Mr. Chairman, you said State funds. There are also

user fees. Do you want us to address that question, too?

Mr. Moss. Either your estimates or the estimates of the participating States. I think you should supply us with the estimates of the total of user fees and whether those estimates are yours or the estimates of the States that you service.

Mr. Kincaid. Yes, sir; we will do that. (The information requested follows:)

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE STATEMENT ON BREAKDOWN OF STATE FUNDS, AND ESTIMATED USER FEES

In accordance with the request of the Chairman, the following tables were prepared showing our estimates of Federal funds required to match State funds which will be available for technical services programs for fiscal years 1969, 1970, and 1971. These amounts are preliminary estimates and are based on information gleaned from a combination of sources, including previous programs submitted by the States, State 5-year Plans, informal conversations with State designated agency personnel, and personal insights into the capability of the developing State programs.

In each year, the State request for a Federal program grant must contain the Governor's certification that the required State matching funds will be available. State matching funds may come from four primary sources: (1) State appro-