

If the State Technical Services Act is to reach its greatest potential we must have consideration for more than year-to-year funding.

My problem now is with personnel. The kinds of people we want in the program, the kinds of people we have been able to get in the program are not people you pick up on the corner.

These folks don't want to work on a program when they don't know from 1 month to the next if they can continue.

They would rather spend effort on some programs that give them continuity. Where success occurs, they have some assurance it will be continued.

My plea would be for this kind of continuation to the extent possible so that we can attract and keep the level of personnel that we have here.

At this point, I think that I want to say that the potential is incalculable. We are just starting to scratch the surface. We have indications that are extremely good. Support is picking up.

The Penntap people seem to know what we are talking about. If this service to business or industry is to reach the potential that is possible and if it is to be continued and expanded, we need to have continued Federal support.

Mr. Moss. Thank you, Mr. Marlow, for an excellent summation.

Mr. Watkins?

Mr. WATKINS. I thank Dr. Marlow for appearing. I am sure that every consideration will be given to this program. I shall use my individual efforts to keep it going and strive to get as much money as possible under the conditions existing today.

Mr. MARLOW. Thank you, sir.

Mr. Moss. Mr. Keith?

Mr. KEITH. I have no questions, Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Moss. Doctor, I want to thank you also for taking your time in coming here and giving this committee the benefit of your evaluation of the program, the experience of Penntap in your State.

Mr. MARLOW. Thank you, sir.

Mr. Moss. With that, unless there are further questions, the committee will stand in adjournment.

(Whereupon, at 11:50 a.m., the subcommittee adjourned, subject to call of the Chair.)