

Mr. BROOKS. That's what I'm trying to determine. Which one are we operating under, or which are we headed toward?

Mr. HYDE. I'm going to ask Mr. Paglin to give you further details. We are in the process of transition. We have been working very diligently, and I think making considerable progress in the development of the program planning concept, but in the meantime, we have been operating under the kind of approach that's outlined here. I will ask Mr. Paglin to discuss it.

Mr. BROOKS. One other thing. Am I right, assuming that Community Antenna Television, program 6, is covered in your CATV task force?

Mr. PAGLIN. Correct.

Mr. BROOKS. Headed by this gentleman?

Mr. PAGLIN. Yes; that's correct.

Mr. BROOKS. So that's just stuck off there at this point in your chart, but in your program planning you are conceiving of a regular program that could cover that activity?

Mr. PAGLIN. Correct.

Mr. HYDE. Chairman Brooks, I would like to say that the Community Antenna Television task force might very well have been in this tier at the bottom, but this is a new phenomenon, or new service concept.

Mr. BROOKS. They haven't cut that pie up, so you're waiting to see how they slice it?

Mr. HYDE. That's right. It has some of the functions of broadcast service, and in some respects it's considered to be competitive with broadcast service. We found it appropriate to set up a special group to handle it, until its true character would be developed to the point—it did not fit precisely with any of the other organizational units, and we set up a special one.

Mr. BROOKS. That's right. And it should be a separate program until you decide.

Mr. Paglin, did you want to comment on the support program? That seems to be sort of spread out in tier two.

Mr. PAGLIN. The organizational chart, which is exhibit B which has been provided for the committee, derives from an actual description of our organizational operations, Mr. Chairman, which comes as a result of an amendment of the Communications Act made by the Congress in 1952, when our organization was set up on the basis of bureaus as such, and the actual language of the statute when the Congress amended the act spoke in terms of—and I am aware of the fact that some of this language is not presently in vogue—but in 1952, when the Congress ordered the structural reorganization of the Commission, it stated, and I am referring, for the record, to section 5(b) of the act—in which the Congress directed that we shall organize—the Commission shall organize its staff into (1) integrated bureaus to function on the basis of the Commission's principal workload operations, and (2) such other division organizations as the Commission may deem necessary. Consequently, the Commission, which theretofore had been divided for many, many years, since 1934, on a—shall I say—a professional basis. That is to say, we had a law department, an accounting department, and an engineering department.