We have recently reviewed with the Defense Supply Agency its procedure for reporting rejections of meat and poultry products. A procedure has been established which is comparable to our own internal arrangement. In other words, if the DSA rejection occurs at a meat or poultry plant which is operating under USDA inspection, such rejection will be routinely reported to our inspector-in-charge for his consideration and appropriate action. If the rejection of a meat or poultry product occurs at destination, the DSA regional headquarters will notify the appropriate USDA field office if such action appears to be desirable in view of the nature of the standards and the product deficiency in response to which DSA rejected the product.

TESTS ON MEAT OR POULTRY PRODUCTS RETURNED TO OFFICIAL ESTABLISHMENTS

All meat or poultry products returned to a plant operating under official USDA inspection are received at a designated location in the establishment and are given an organoleptic inspection by a USDA employee before acceptance back into the establishment. Products rejected or returned for suspected unwholesomeness are examined by selecting a sufficient number of samples from the lot to judge its condition. If such examination discloses evidence of unwholesomeness, the product is then subjected to individual examination of each unit. Also, laboratory tests are made if warranted by product conditions. If the unwholesomeness is found to be limited to a few units, a part of the lot might be salvaged and the balance condemned and destroyed for food purposes. If, however, the unwholesomeness is found to be general in nature, the entire shipment would be condemned and destroyed or diverted to nonfood uses.

SPECIFIC REJECTION CASES

Most of the USDA rejection cases on which the committee requested our appraisal on possible bacteriological, nutritional, dehydration, or flavor effects describe the cause of the rejection as "temperature of commodity exceeded contract specifications." In responding to this request for our appraisal on these factors, I would like to quote from an article "Quality v. Safety in Frozen Foods" written by Dr. R. Paul Elliott, our chief microbiologist for meat and poultry inspection:

"Home freezers are not equipped with thermometers, and the consumer neither knows nor cares what the temperature of the freezer is, as long as the food remains hard. The consumer should be informed of the importance of low

temperature storage.

"However, in order to protect the industry, it should be made clear somehow

that the question of public health is not involved.

"I think the 'do not refreeze' label has done just the opposite. It has instilled into the minds of consumers, retailers, distributors, and even lawmakers, the mistaken belief that freezing a food twice makes it dangerous to eat.

"When a food is thawed and refrozen, there will be a quality loss. Such loss due to one such experience may not be detectable, depending on the nature of the food. We are not recommending, that you allow frozen foods to thaw and then refreeze them, because several such experiences will ruin the food from the

"But this quality loss is not connected with danger to health of the consumer standpoint of quality. unless during the thawing the product temperature went to above 38° F. for at least a couple of hours, and even then only certain types of foods may be a

potential danger.

"Lowest recorded temperatures (° F.) for growth of food-poisoning bacteria

staphylococ	Jus			
Salmonella	hotulinum :			
Jostriaium	botulinum:	, a		
A				

"The table above shows the lowest temperatures at which growth and/or toxin production have been reported by the more common types of food-poisoning bacteria.