(The table follows:)

APPENDIX

ABLE 3.—SAMPLE OF VARIATIONS IN ITEMS OF LOSS AND EXPENSE COMPENSABLE UNDER ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATIONS APPLICABLE TO FEDERAL AND FEDERALLY AIDED PROGRAMS

Item	Urban Renewal	Bureau of Public Roads	Corps of Engineers	Navy	Department of Interior
osts during search for replacement property:					
Transportation			X	X	X
Lodging			X	X	X
xpenses in obtaining replacement property:					
Appraisal			X	X	X
Title examination			X	X	X
Credit reports			X	X	
Closing costs—recording fees			X	X	
loving costs:					
Disconnecting and dismantling fixtures, machinery, equipment, etc. (not compensated as part of realty)	X	X [1]	X	X	X
Loading and unloading personal property	X	X [1]	X	X	X
Cost of transporting displaced party and family to new dwelling.			X	X	X
Reassembling and reinstalling fixtures, machinery, equipment, etc. (not compensated as part of realty).	X	X [1]	X	X	X
Inspection fees for reinstalled property		X [1]	X	X	
Taxes incident to moving personal property			X	X	X
ime lost from employment because of moving (not including labor performed in accomplishing move).			X [2]	X	X
oss in value of personal property disposed of because of displacement: fixtures, machinery, equipment, etc. (not paid for as part of realty).	X				
losing and related costs to convey property to Government.		X [1]			

[1] The Bureau of Public Roads will participate but it is entirely up to the State whether to pay at all or whether to pay for specific item.
[2] Supervision only.

Source: Select Subcommittee on Real Property Acquisition, Study, op cit., p. 102.

Senator MUSKIE. Just one or two more questions.

The Bureau of the Budget has suggested that the owner of real property taken under a Federal or federally assisted program who purchases another home within a year be paid an amount of up to $5,000 which, when added to the acquisition payment, equals the average price for standard dwellings adequate to accommodate the displaced owner. In other words, it was their feeling that the fair market value does not really represent what it is likely to cost the displaced owner to get into comparable housing on the current market, that he ought to be allowed up to $5,000 more.

Now, do you agree with this idea as an addition to the relocation benefits available under the act, and do you feel that the figure he suggested is adequate in the light of present-day costs in the housing market?

Mr. COLMAN. We think there is much to be said for that point, Mr. Chairman. I do not think we would be disposed to challenge the figure.

Senator MUSKIE. Have you had a chance to consider that concept?

Mr. COLMAN. Yes, we have. This seems to us to be reasonable. The only reservation that I would enter is with regard to the precise amount. We have no basis however to say that the amount is not a proper one.

Senator MUSKIE. I think I neglected to pursue that point yesterday with the Bureau of the Budget. I think I ought to ask the staff to