

KANSAS

(1) Has any single agency in your State (or combination of agencies) been successful in packaging two or more federal grants to achieve a specific state objective under existing federal legislative authority? If so, list the federal grants that were combined as follows:

State objective	Title of grant programs	Federal agencies	State agencies
Recreation planning	701 and Bureau of Outdoor Recreation	HUD and BOR	
P-55 health planning	701 and health planning	HUD and HEW	Department of economic development; health; water resources; highway; park and resources authority; forestry, fish, and game commission; historical society.
Rural water and sewer planning (cities under 2,500 population)	701 and water and sewer planning	HUD and Farmers Home Administration	Department of economic development and legislative council.
Transportation studies in metropolitan areas	701 (city gets grant for study, highway receives reimbursement for its costs from Bureau of Public Roads highway planning and research funds).	HUD and Bureau of Public Roads	Department of economic development (acts as coordinator between cities and consultants). Highway commission.

(2) Please cite examples where a state agency has been unsuccessful in its attempt to package federal grants. *Transportation Studies in Metropolitan Areas.*—Since these projects involve origination and destination studies, land use studies, and socio-economic studies, there is often a lag of time between completion of each phase; Highway officials have found that in some way and completed. Biggest problem is fitting time-wise the studies and funding together while study data is current.

General Comments

Our review of federal grant programs administered through state agencies reveals that examples of packaging accomplished or attempted have been limited to planning and survey activities of the Kansas Department of Economic Development (State planning agency) and the State Highway Commission.