

(2) Please cite examples where a State agency (or combination of State agencies) has been unsuccessful in their attempt to package federal grants, or discouraged from such attempts by presumed lack of federal authority. Provide the same details about the programs and agencies as in question (1).

State objective	Title of grant programs	Federal agencies	State agencies
Recreation—Parks—Land acquisition-----	Land and water conservation fund and crop and adjustment program.	Department of Interior, BOR; USDA-----	Michigan Department of Conservation.

The Bureau of Outdoor Recreation has decreed that they must provide 50% acquisition costs (or none) precluding the effective use of other federal programs in land acquisition (namely Pittman-Robertson, Dingell-Johnson, P.L. 566, Green Span, etc.).

(3) Do you have pending one or more proposals for federal funding that involves an attempt to package federal grants? Please give the same details about programs and agencies as in questions (1) and (2).

State objective	Title of grant programs	Federal agencies	State agencies
Community development----- Airport development-----	Titles VIII and IX Federal aid airport program, Public Works and Economic Development Act of 1965, titles III and title V.	HUD----- Federal Aviation Agency, Economic Development Administration, Upper Great Lakes Regional Commission.	Office of Planning Coordination. Department of commerce.

General Comments

The various packaging arrangements authorized by this proposed Act (including the waiving of the single state agency requirement under certain circumstances) would allow the states to use federal assistance more creatively. However, some of the administrative improvements proposed in this bill should not be contingent on joint funding. There is a great need for more uniform technical and administrative requirements among related Federal grant-in-aid programs regardless of whether there will be joint funding under these programs.

There is also a need for greater flexibility in the statutory and administrative requirements for federal aid programs. Both Congress and the federal aid agencies have a tendency to use "boiler plate" language geared for those states with the least administrative capabilities. This policy places unnecessary and unreasonable administrative burdens on the majority of states. Since this bill authorizes federal agencies to review their program requirements and authorizes the President to recommend changes in the statutory requirements to Congress in order to assist joint funding, perhaps the purpose of the bill could be broadened to include a general review of federal aid requirements.