Mr. Robertson. No; but apparently he has made a decision in this in think duyone who has been here toway would say you are impairing the conduct of Government business. I think you are duty bound to give some cooperation in this matter.

Mr. Evererr. You do have the right to exempt these people if you

so desire, do you not?

Mr. ROBERTSON. The Secretary of Labor has that right.

Mr. Everett. Suppose we change the names of these nursing homes

to "hospitals." Would they be covered then?

Mr. ROBERTSON. Sir, I think they would have to do more than change the names to qualify as hospitals under the laws of the various States.

Mr. Everett. Such as what?

Mr. Robertson. Provide resident physicians, operating rooms, vari-

ous technical requirements of the various States.

Mr. Roberts. If these people do not comply, it is the fault of the committee, Congress, or the Veterans' Administration. Certainly they are not at fault. They were not attempting to make more money because they can fill the same bed with patients from the medicaid program.

It is obvious that the assumption of custodial care has to be in error because, if he is a custodial patient, he would be in a VA cusmir noberison. There is the containing unscrepancy in late.

Mr. Roberts. Yes; that we can worry about later. How are we going back to correct this letter of March 25 or this ruling as it affects these people who are trying to perform a service and trying to save the Government some money? How are we going to get them out of this mess?

You all are technicians in this field. How are we going to get them out of it? If it takes an act, the Veterans' Affairs Committee passes many of the bills through this Congress, most of them by 400 votes. We should not try to spank somebody. I think it would be wrong on our part and I think it would be bad business on everybody's part.

How are we going to remedy this?