

education has been second, and health has been third. Last year, however, for the first time philanthropy contributed more to health than it did to education.

As I indicated in my testimony, corporation giving in the fund drives which normally take place in communities where nonprofit hospitals are proposed, represents the great bulk of the money that is raised as the matching share.

As I tried to point out in my testimony, the District of Columbia does not, according to people who are knowledgeable on such matters, have the potential for corporate giving that Detroit, Chicago, New York, Cleveland, New Orleans, and other big cities would have.

Mr. GUDE. Do you think you would be able to supply for the record a comparison of what some of these large cities receive in this area?

Dr. GRANING. We would be very happy, sir.

Mr. GUDE. Compared to what is received in the District of Columbia?

Dr. GRANING. I would be happy to.

Mr. GUDE. Mr. Chairman, it seems to me it might be important to have information provided regarding the Federal-local fund ratios with regard to Federal programs.

Dr. GRANING. Mr. Gude, at the present time, and I was cognizant of this as I was thinking about appearing this morning, the Federal share for the country as a whole ranges from one-third to two-thirds for the construction of facilities.

Here in the District the present practice has been to give one-third Federal share for the construction of new hospitals and for modernization and 50 percent for long-term care, diagnostic and treatment facilities and rehabilitation facilities.

The amount of money which has been made available has not been adequate and the Federal share has not been enough particularly with reference to long-term care facilities. This legislation proposes to make 66 and two-thirds percent available for the three categories I mentioned earlier.

One clarifying point. I heard the discussion with Dr. Grant regarding modernization and the interpretation of modernization, and also what could or could not be built in connection with this legislation.

SCOPE OF LEGISLATION

Mr. SISK. Will you comment on that, then, in connection with the language in your statement at the top of page 6 with reference to the uses which could be made of the moneys authorized in this proposed legislation?

I assume that you were saying in this first sentence that there was not an intention that such funds would be used for the construction of the new Children's Hospital.

I don't want to put words in your mouth but in line with what you were about to say I thought you might expand on that.

Dr. GRANING. I appreciate that. The term "modernization" includes complete replacement, and modernization as administered in the Hill-Burton Law and as proposed to be administered here, could include a complete replacement of a facility at another location.