

Judge GREENE. I wonder, Congressman Steiger, that any such computation also include a column that we prepare, and perhaps Mr. Farber may prepare on his own, on what the effect would have been had the particular judge remained 10 years in the civil service and had retired on the civil service instead of serving 10 years in the judicial branch.

Mr. STEIGER. Does it already include that?

Mr. FARBER. No, sir.

Mr. WHITENER. Well, that can be helpful.

Mr. FARBER. Pardon me. That might be a problem, because you wouldn't know what the salary would be 10 years from now, but it would be very much higher. I don't know if we are defeating our purpose.

Mr. STEIGER. Do it on a current basis, then.

Mr. FARBER. Do you mean give him the same salary as was given a judge? In ten years the person with the proper qualifications duly moves up the line and receives more salary.

Mr. STEIGER. It is a matter of conjecture anyway. You could be arbitrary with this increase.

Mr. WHITENER. What he is saying, I think, Mr. Farber, is that Judge Greene has made such a computation based on his experience. That is the type of thing that we would like to have. The same as Judge Greene indicated his situation would be had he not gone on the bench and stayed in the same office.

Judge GREENE. What I would suggest, Mr. Chairman, to make it entirely equitable, would be a computation to take into account the grade that the judge had at the time he left the civil service, taking that grade of current salary plus any step increases he might have had. I think I can discuss it with Mr. Farber, if I may, and I can assure the committee—

Mr. WHITENER. I think we want something illustrating how the system works.

Without objection, the information requested will be filed in the record at this point, when received.

(The tabulations referred to follow:)