

Response to American Hospital Association questionnaire

Schools open, June 24, 1968

Mailed -----	694
Replies (approximately 66 percent) -----	457
Schools reported closing -----	19
Not included in other questionnaire. No indication on any form that additional funds would change future plans to close.	
Not completed -----	3
Usable -----	435

Total ----- 457

Question No. 1. Would you accept a Federal subsidy?	428
Yes -----	7
No -----	

Total ----- 435

Question No. 2. Would you increase size of classes?	356
Yes -----	6
No -----	6
Not usable -----	

Total ----- 428

How many per class would you increase?

Less than—	3
5 -----	51
5 to 9 -----	104
10 to 14 -----	51
15 to 19 -----	43
20 to 24 -----	22
25 to 29 -----	14
30 to 34 -----	4
35 to 39 -----	9
40 to 44 -----	3
45 to 49 -----	7
50 to 54 -----	5
55 to 59 -----	¹ 7
Over 60 -----	33

No response or not usable -----

¹ 2 schools each said they would increase by 70, 80, and 125 students. 1 school said 66.

Mr. ROGERS. Now, let me just ask this. In the institutional grant, under the legislation, they provide for institutional grants and project grants interchangeably, so that it is left up to the administrators in the department to decide what they will do. Should we set a division there or not? In other words, should we require 40 percent of it to be spent in institutional grants or 60 percent in project grants or vice versa or what, or should we leave it completely to the discretion of the administrator?

Mr. BLAIR. My inclination is that there should be a measure of flexibility. My major concern on these two points is that we do need some construction. I think the most urgent need is the grant to the institution for this to meet the ongoing costs of education.

Mr. ROGERS. The institutional grant?

Mr. BLAIR. Yes. The \$15,000 and the \$500 per student that I refer to specifically. I think that if this effective date can be moved up, I am confident it would not only deter, it would reverse many institutions that are now planning on closing.