trasted with a massive spill from a shore installation, the net effect in terms of the waters in Lake Michigan would be the same, so that you still are up against the question of achieving early cleanup.

Mr. Wright. I think we are agreed, you need the authority to achieve an early cleanup, and in addition, you need the authority to find out who did it if you can and try to get the money from them. But I still fail to see why you need this additional expansion of Federal authority within the State areas.

Do you contemplate under this bill that shore installations would be installations along the ocean, installations along the lakes, installations along the navigable streams, rivers, all of those? Which ones

of those?

Mr. Moore. It is along navigable waters and the territorial waters

of the United States.

Mr. Wright. All of the navigable rivers of the United States? Anybody who has any kind of an installation on that river that uses oil of any kind, any industry, any type of operation that uses any oil, you would come in and have your controls over them, right?

Mr. Moore. Only if you had a spill on the waters. Mr. Wright. I understand that. I understand that.

I wonder why you seek this authority in respect to oil? I wonder why you are not seeking it in regard to these other pollutants your industrial waste profile series deals with? You have a study relating to blast furnaces, steel mills, one relating to motor vehicles and parts, one relating to paper mills, one relating to textile mill products, one relating to leather tanning plants, one relating to the meat products, one relating to plastic materials and rosins. All of these things, as well as other things, are pollutants of the waters, and they cannot send on to the waters materials that need to be cleaned up and taken out of the water.

## HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES OTHER THAN OIL

Why do you limit your request to oil? Petroleum products?

Mr. Moore. Well, actually H.R. 15906 would provide the Department similar authority with respect to other hazardous substances. This would be a matter of the degree of hazard that is involved in terms of the immediate effect that can follow the discharges.

Mr. Wright. How would that hurt you if you go into the courts with the statute that says oil and other hazardous substances? What is

going to be the definition of a hazardous substance?

Mr. Moore. Well, the definition is found in the proposed section 21(a) of the act:

Any substance of any description or origin, other than oil, which, when discharged from a vessel or shore installation into any waters in substantial quantities, presents, in the judgment of the Secretary, an imminent and substantial hazard to the public health or welfare.

Mr. Wright. That leaves it up to the Secretary to decide whatever he says is hazardous substance?

CORPS OF ENGINEERS AUTHORITY RESPECTING DEPOSITS IN NAVIGABLE WATERS

I am told by a person this morning that the Corps of Army Engineers has billed a chemical company operation \$54,000, on a basis