

Mr. DENNEY. The thing I am concerned about, I can visualize the small manufacturing plant and the small municipality thinking they have done the job to stop the pollution and then they have to give it the secondary treatment, requiring expensive machinery and technological changes they have made, and so forth.

Mr. MOORE. Well, I think with any rule or any generalization it would have to be conditioned by exceptions, and I recognize there are instances in which an exception ought to be made and, quite frankly I have had some discussions about your State and the relationship in terms of interstate waters, with regard to this particular question.

Let me say this in terms of the general secondary treatment requirement. I regard it from the State's view, and then I have continued to regard this requirement for secondary treatment for industrial wastes as intended to reflect that a higher degree of treatment would not be required from municipalities than would be required of industry and vice versa.

The intent was to assure that those who were making this discharge would be held to, as nearly as could be determined, the same standard. This, of course, is an entirely separate treatment. But, when you say who is writing the standards, I think that the Department of Interior does have a responsibility to indicate to the States, at intervals, those areas in which they do not regard the State's standards as coming up to par. This has been quite often overlooked. This was reflected throughout the standard-setting process. Recognition has had to be given to the differences in State laws as one of the examples.

Mr. DENNEY. Mr. Chairman, I would say for the record here that my State has been one of cooperation with your Department. I am not trying to upset it. I just want to write a good bill.

Thank you.

Mr. WRIGHT. Mr. McEwen?

Mr. McEWEN. Mr. Moore, so I can understand exactly what the full meaning of the proposed legislation is, I am trying to get some understanding, if you will, of where we have been, as well as where we may be going with this new legislation.

APPROVALS OF STATE STANDARDS

Under the present Federal Water Pollution Control Act, I am concerned as to how many States now have had their standards approved.

Was there testimony this morning that there are now 31 States?

Mr. MOORE. One of those is a territory, but that is correct; 31 out of a total of 54 jurisdictions.

Mr. McEWEN. Did they include the 10 that were supposed to have been approved and then reviewed?

Mr. MOORE. That is correct. They include the first 10 that were approved, one or two of which may have acceptable nondegradation statements.

Mr. McEWEN. Were those approved with any conditions?

Mr. MOORE. Of the States standards approved to date, some have been approved without exclusions, others with exclusions.

Mr. McEWEN. Now, in an effort to understand this, Mr. Moore, I notice that section 30, according to a release from the Department of Interior, when Secretary Edwards spoke before the Federal Bar Asso-