ı fact, international maritime safety conventions are normally generated rough this organization.

Regarding the enforcement aspects of the proposed legislation, it is difficult to sees at this time the extent to which additional Coast Guard forces or facilities ould be required. Presently, the Coast Guard enforces the 1961 Oil Pollution Act ound be required. Fresently, the Coast Guard emoties the 1901 Oil Politicol as noted above) and participates in the enforcement of the 1924 Oil Politicol et, and the Refuse Act of 1899. We anticipate initially the utilization of existing rices with additional emphasis on pollution aspects. We now include oil spill reveillance in our coastal air and vessel patrols. As budgetary resources permit, e plan to strengthen our port safety operations. Coast Guard marine technical er plan to strengthen our port safety operations. Coast Guard marine technical ersonnel have been working to improve tanker structural designs, waste oil and her pollutant containment, waste oil separators and other pertinent devices. Iso, as appropriate, we will include funds in future budget requests for research support Coast Guard oil pollution responsibilities.

That concludes my prepared statement. I welcome the opportunity to discuss 19 of my comments in greater detail at your request, or to answer any other

lestions which you may have.

OIL POLLUTION CONTROL

Admiral TRIMBLE. I am Admiral Trimble, representing the Depart-

ent of Transportation and the Coast Guard.

Mr. Chairman, we do appreciate the opportunity to appear before is committee and explain the role of the Coast Guard in the prevenon and control of pollution.

As Secretary Udall has explained, the administration's recommendations are included in the bills before you.

First you have the one dealing with oil pollution, S. 2760 and H.R. 5906 and similar bills, including administration recommendations hich places the responsibility for enforcement, as far as vessels are oncerned, in the Coast Guard.

In other words, after Interior determines the objectives and stand-rds, the Coast Guard would then be charged, after consultation with ne Secretary of Interior, with enforcing and achieving these standards not Interior has established

nat Interior has established.

Finally, as far as the clean-up operations are concerned, the adminisration proposes to place the responsibility in the Secretary of Interior.

The Secretary of Interior may then delegate his authority to any ther Federal agency, if he chooses to exercise that responsibility.

The Coast Guard might be the recipient of rule and the second responsibility. The Coast Guard might be the recipient of such a delegation and, beiuse of its resources distributed geographically around the country, ould be in a position to act in a casualty case, such as the one that ccurred down in San Juan, P.R.

VESSEL POLLUTION CONTROL

My statement, which is included in the record, deals specifically with ne oil pollution aspect and does not deal with the bills such as S. 2525 nd also H.R. 16207 and similar bills.

I feel that, with respect to the regulatory features, that H.R. 16207, . 2525 and similar bills should be amended to reflect the conclusions nat have been reached by the administration with respect to H.R.

5906, S. 2760 and similar bills.

In other words, the regulation as far as ships and equipment are con-erned for oil pollution, this principle should be extended to the one ealing with waste from watercraft.