I wonder, in considering this legislation, if you have made any estimates as to how many people would be affected by the greater sweep of the legislation now before us?

Mr. LIEBLING. The additional resources we need?

Mr. CULVER. No, not on your end. I am talking about the number of people that you feel could responsibly implement the congressional directive of this legislation, how many more people would you estimate would be affected?

Mr. LIEBLING. Frankly we have not gone into that. I have no idea.

Mr. CULVER. It seemed to me you would have to start at that end before you figured out what your costs might be.

Mr. LIEBLING. Yes, we would.

Mr. CULVER. You have no estimates?

Mr. LIEBLING. No.

Mr. CULVER. How many more plants might come under this bill?

Mr. LIEBLING. I don't think the expansion of the number of facilities would be great. The program as it would now without a statutory underpinning has 3,500, and unless the criteria change to how you designate a defense facility as being sensitive, I don't see any appreciable change.

Mr. CULVER. The designations with regard to significantly engaged or providing essential or sensitive communications repair, warehousing services, gas, battery and electric utilities for the foregoing production or services—that would not represent an expansion of your present sensitive areas?

Mr. LIEBLING. No, I don't think it would appreciably change, no, sir.

Mr. CULVER. Do you make a distinction between a person that is an engineer that is engaged in the actual work of a top secret Government contract and a warehouseman that is charged with maintenance of an automobile that is engaged in providing gasoline and services to that company?

Do you make a distinction between his sensitive security status?

Mr. LIEBLING. Well let me provide a similar analogy. We would make a distinction insofar as access to classified information is concerned, or exposure to the military product as such.

But there are instances where you would have to consider him on a parallel basis, or equal basis of sensitivity, if you are talking about an individual who controls a power unit, let's say, one of these maintenance men, as a single man, controlling the power unit for one of our facilities under the 3,500.

Mr. CULVER. Say for purposes of employment, as you can properly understand, your responsibility would be under this statute.

Would you make a distinction between your responsibility to bar employment to a person in the relatively menial status, in providing services to a particular industry engaged in defense work, as opposed to an engineer actively engaged in the top secret contract, per se?

Mr. LIEBLING. I would say that the normal reaction and the normal application would be to make a distinction.

Mr. CULVER. You mean, you would let him go ahead and be hired?

Mr. LIEBLING. The lesser?

Mr. CULVER. The man involved in the menial work?