

1466 AMENDING SUBVERSIVE ACTIVITIES CONTROL ACT OF 1950

It seems to me that congressional intent was clear and that subversives or security risks were to be picked out from wherever they were found. But the Supreme Court said there was no express authority so I hope that congressional intent is made absolutely clear when the present bill is brought up on the floor of the House.

The Commission on Government Security determined that a port security program is necessary to protect United States shipping, ports, harbor, installations, and the Panama Canal from destruction and crippling damage by saboteurs and subversives. As a member of the staff of that Commission, I thoroughly agree with its findings and recommendations.

In my opinion, the danger to our country from international communism is greater today than ever before. The United States cannot coexist with communism because communism will not permit coexistence.

I sincerely hope that the bill discussed here today, H.R. 15626, is passed, and quickly.

Mr. TUCK. We thank you very much, Mr. Tracy.

I would like for the record to show that Mr. Tracy had a very long and distinguished record of public service to the country. For 13 years prior to his retirement in 1954, he was assistant to the Director of the FBI. He is presently a member of the strategy staff of the American Security Council.

He served as associate counsel in the Project Survey Division of the Commission on Government Security and that was 1956 and 1957. Prior to joining the FBI in 1933, he served with the Department of Labor Naturalization Service and the Veterans Administration.

I have no questions to ask of you but some of the other committee members may have some questions.

Mr. TRACY. I have an item that I worked on yesterday, but did not have time to put into my statement. I would like to suggest due to the decisions of the Supreme Court it has not been possible to force the Communist Party so far to register. However, the Supreme Court decision in the *Robel* case referred to it as a political association. Now, if the Republican Party and the Democratic Party have to file lists of contributions, I suggest this committee consider legislation to require contributors of money to Communist-action groups be made a matter of public record.

Probably that would open the party more to exposure to the American public.

Mr. ASHBROOK. Can I ask you whether or not they are trying to influence an election?

Mr. TRACY. If it is a political party it would try to influence elections.

Mr. ASHBROOK. Political parties do not have to report expenditures of money. That would be the Communist argument.

Mr. TRACY. I am only thinking of the contributors who support it, and contributions are listed.

Mr. Ashbrook. All of the money contributed to a party this year would be. Last year for its operation it was not listed if it was not trying to effect an election. Of course, the Communists argue they are not trying to effect particular elections.

Mr. TRACY. If it is a political party, I think it might be worth a try.